Minutes of the Meeting of the Council of the City of Sheffield held in the Council Chamber, Town Hall, Pinstone Street, Sheffield, S1 2HH, on Friday 3 March 2017, at 2.00 pm, pursuant to notice duly given and Summonses duly served.

#### **PRESENT**

# THE LORD MAYOR (Councillor Denise Fox)

1	Beauchief & Greenhill Ward Andy Nash Bob Pullin Richard Shaw	10	East Ecclesfield Ward Pauline Andrews Andy Bainbridge Steve Wilson	19	Nether Edge & Sharrow Ward Mohammad Maroof Alison Teal Nasima Akther
2	Beighton Ward Helen Mirfin-Boukouris Chris Rosling-Josephs Ian Saunders	11	Ecclesall Ward Roger Davison Shaffaq Mohammed Paul Scriven	20	Park & Arbourthorne Julie Dore Ben Miskell Jack Scott
3	Birley Ward Bryan Lodge Karen McGowan	12	Firth Park Ward Alan Law Abtisam Mohamed Abdul Khayum	21	Richmond Ward Mike Drabble Dianne Hurst Peter Rippon
4	Broomhill & Sharrow Vale Ward Michelle Cook Kieran Harpham Magid Magid	13	Fulwood Ward Sue Alston Andrew Sangar Cliff Woodcraft	22	Shiregreen & Brightside Ward Dawn Dale Peter Price Garry Weatherall
5	Burngreave Ward Jackie Drayton Mark Jones Talib Hussain	14	Gleadless Valley Ward Lewis Dagnall Cate McDonald Chris Peace	23	Southey Ward Leigh Bramall Tony Damms Jayne Dunn
6	City Ward Douglas Johnson Robert Murphy	15	Graves Park Ward Ian Auckland Sue Auckland Steve Ayris	24	Stannington Ward David Baker Penny Baker Vickie Priestley
7	Crookes & Crosspool Ward Craig Gamble Pugh Adam Hanrahan	16	Hillsborough Ward Bob Johnson George Lindars-Hammond Josie Paszek	25	Stocksbridge & Upper Don Ward Jack Clarkson Richard Crowther Keith Davis
8	<i>Darnall Ward</i> Mazher Iqbal Mary Lea Zahira Naz	17	Manor Castle Ward Lisa Banes Terry Fox Pat Midgley	26	Walkley Ward Olivia Blake Ben Curran Neale Gibson
9	Dore & Totley Ward Joe Otten Colin Ross Martin Smith	18	Mosborough Ward David Barker Tony Downing Gail Smith	27	West Ecclesfield Ward John Booker Adam Hurst Zoe Sykes
				28	Woodhouse Ward Mick Rooney Jackie Satur Paul Wood

# 1. FORMER COUNCILLOR CATH WHITTY AND FORMER LORD MAYOR'S CONSORT MR ROBERT LEEK

- 1.1 The Lord Mayor (Councillor Denise Fox) reported with sadness, the death, in the previous week, of former Councillor Cath Whitty, who had served as a Member of the Council from 1990 to 1994. Members of the Council observed a minute's silence in her memory. The Lord Mayor stated that an opportunity for Members to pay tribute to Ms. Whitty would be provided at the Council meeting on 5<sup>th</sup> April.
- 1.2 The Lord Mayor also reported with sadness, the death, on 17<sup>th</sup> February, of Mr. Robert Leek, former Lord Mayor's Consort in 2003/04.

#### 2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

2.1 An apology for absence was received from the Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Anne Murphy).

# 3. DECLARATIONS FOR INTEREST OR INABILITY TO VOTE ON THE SETTING OF THE COUNCIL TAX

- 3.1. Declarations of interest by Members of the Council
- 3.1.1 During consideration of Amendment Number 2 on Agenda Item 5 Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2017/18 (See Minute 7 below), Councillor Ian Saunders declared a personal interest in relation to paragraph (14)(xi) of the amendment on the grounds that he was a foster carer, and he indicated that, although he would vote on the amendment, he would abstain on that part of it.
- 3.1.2 During consideration of Amendment Number 3 on Agenda Item 5 Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2017/18 (See Minute 7 below), Councillors Talib Hussain and Mohammad Maroof declared personal interests in relation to those parts of the amendment that related to zero or low emission taxi vehicles, on the grounds that they were taxi drivers.
- 3.2 Prohibition from voting on the grounds of Council Tax arrears
- 3.2.1 No Members declared an inability to vote on the setting of the Council Tax on the grounds explained above.

#### 4. PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

- 4.1 Petitions
- 4.1.1 <u>Petition Requesting the Council to Properly Assess the Cumulative Impact of Traffic Levels in Oughtibridge</u>

The Council received a joint paper and electronic petition, containing 685 signatures, requesting the Council to properly assess the cumulative impact of traffic levels in Oughtibridge.

Representations on behalf of the petitioners were made by Terry Barrow who stated that there were significant developments being planned in the Stocksbridge Valley and there was concern as to their cumulative effect. She referred in particular to a planning application for the development of land at Platts Lane, Oughtibridge for which it was proposed to reduce the railway bridge to a single lane and to install traffic signals. It was thought that this would adversely affect the village. In addition, there were concerns about safety on the A6102 on which there had been near misses, accidents involving cars and other non-reportable incidents. It was considered that this situation would worsen if more developments went ahead.

It was suggested that a number of simple and relatively inexpensive options were considered, to include a review of the traffic system in the village; and appropriate traffic management measures as a condition of any planning application. A site meeting with the Cabinet Member and officers was also requested to review traffic and safety issues.

The Council referred the petition to Councillor Mazher Iqbal, Cabinet Member for Infrastructure and Transport. Councillor Iqbal stated that local Councillors had also made him aware of this matter. He said that he would be pleased to arrange a site visit with regard to the traffic issues in Oughtibridge. In relation to planning applications, the Council considered each application on its own merits and the implications of any application would be considered at that time.

# 4.1.2 <u>Petition Expressing Concern at the Implications of the Housing and Planning Act</u>

The Council received a joint paper and electronic petition containing 412 signatures, expressing concern at the implications of the Housing and Planning Act.

Representations on behalf of the petitioners were made by Carrie Hedderwick who stated that the Housing and Planning Act would not solve the housing crisis but it would worsen the situation. It would affect families if tenants were issued with short term, insecure tenancies and would put more people into private rented housing if the local authority housing stock reduced. Some areas might be classed as brownfield sites and redeveloped with minimum of social housing as part of any new housing development. Several Councils had stated opposition to the Act and the campaign had succeeded in the Government dropping a proposal to make tenants pay to stay if their household income reached a certain threshold and the Right to Buy for housing association tenants had also been postponed. What was needed was more genuinely affordable housing to rent; and a comprehensive programme of council house building.

The petition requested the Council to send out information to tenants about the

implications of the Act and to hold public meetings concerning the Act and to stand with others in opposition to the Act.

Carrie Hedderwick said that Councillor Dunn had responded to her in relation to questions about this matter. She said that affordable housing was at an all-time low and whilst wages were stagnant, rents were increasing. She commented with regard to Right to Buy, fixed term and secure tenancies; the proportion of housing development set aside for affordable housing and the debt cap and requested that events were held to explain the implications of the Housing and Planning Act.

The Council referred the petition to Councillor Jayne Dunn, Cabinet Member for Housing. Councillor Dunn stated that she had written a letter for the Star newspaper, although the letter had not been published and for reasons of length, some details had been left out of the letter.

There had been significant discussions in relation to the debt cap and Councillor Dunn said that she was going to visit the Government Office on 7 March in relation to the issue. With regard to public meetings, Councillor Dunn said that she had sent an email to the lead petitioner detailing the events which had taken place relating to the Housing and Planning Act. Councillor Dunn stated that she would be pleased to meet the petitioners with regard to this matter.

# 4.2 Public Questions

#### 4.2.1 Public Question Concerning Best Value Guidance

June Cattell referred to a petition presented to the Council in February 2016 concerning the ability of local authorities to make ethical decisions on procurement. The Government had recently published a consultation process, particularly aimed at Local Authorities and the Secretary of State for Communities had announced his intention to put last February's guidance on a legal footing. The proposal was to add a new paragraph to the Best Value Statutory Guidance stating that authorities should not implement or pursue boycotts other than where formal legal sanctions, embargoes and restrictions had been put in place by the Government. The questions asked in the consultation were regarding whether the wording was clear and specific.

She asked if the Cabinet Member would agree that this did not constitute consultation in any meaningful form and that the Council should complain about what she said was the sham basis of the consultation and the limited timescale; and strongly reiterate its opposition to what she said was an attack on principles of localism. She asked the Cabinet Member to reiterate his statement of April 2016 that Sheffield City Council did not invest in companies which breached international law.

Councillor Curran, the Cabinet Member for Finance and Resources, stated that he would be pleased to repeat that the Council did not invest in companies that break international law. He said that it should be for a local authority to decide how it made investments, not the Government. He said that he did not think that the consultation to which June Cattell had referred was helpful or meaningful. Council officers were of the opinion that a change to more formal footing would not affect the work which the Council was doing with regards to ethical procurement.

# 4.2.2 Public Question Concerning Children's Centres

Mike Levery asked whether the Children's Centres that did not become Family Centres would operate the same core hours as Family Centres, with the existing range of early years services and drop-in facility. He also asked whether a second stage of consultation would take place with regard to the detailed plans before implementing these changes, as other local authorities had done.

Councillor Jackie Drayton, the Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Families, stated that the proposals in the consultation included the 7 locality areas comprising one main site, a link site and outreach provision at a variety of venues. Provision would be run at various days and times, including weekends and at other times. There would be both core services and additional services. The detail on what, where and when would be a matter for discussion by the Multi Agency Partnership Board which would assess need and decide accordingly.

Councillor Drayton said that in the Gleadless Valley for example, a Link Centre would be installed whereas previously, there had been no such provision.

With regard to consultation, Councillor Drayton stated that Cabinet had considered a proposal with regard to consultation on the development of a new delivery model for services from pre-birth to 19 years and 25 years for young people with a disability or special educational needs. Section 5A of the Childcare Act 2006 referred to the duty with regards provision of Children's Centres to meet need and the Multi Agency Partnership Board had a role in this regard.

#### 4.2.3 <u>Public Question Concerning Vulnerable and Disabled People</u>

Adam Butcher asked how in the current budget round we could make sure that the most vulnerable people in the City were looked after. He asked how we could ensure that more disabled people voted in elections and also how Sheffield could make sure that it was a disability friendly City in the run up to the Special Olympics 2017.

Councillor Ben Curran, the Cabinet Member for Finance and Resources, stated that austerity affected people who depended upon public services and those who were most vulnerable. The Council protected people where it could. For example, Council Tax was to be increased so there was a resource for those people most in need and it would include a social care precept and there would also be an increase in the Council Tax Hardship Fund of £200K. An Equalities Impact Assessment had been produced with regard to the budget proposals, so

there was awareness of their impact. Appendix G of the budget report set out the Equality Impact Assessments. The budget maintained the level of child social workers and protected Special Educational Needs and Disability services and funding for voluntary groups, in order to mitigate the effects of austerity.

Councillor Cate McDonald, the Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care, stated that with regard to voting in elections, both she and Councillor Jack Scott, the Cabinet Member for Community Services and Libraries, were to work on this issue with the Disability Hub to consider issues of access and participation in the electoral process. Councillor McDonald said that any ideas that Mr Butcher had in this regard would be welcome.

Councillor McDonald said that the Learning Disabilities Partnership Group would consider the issues relating to Sheffield as a disability friendly City. It was important to use the Special Olympics to promote positive images of people and to have effective communications. Stagecoach was a sponsor for the Special Olympics and its drivers would be specially trained. The objective was to make sure that activity continued beyond the Olympic event and that there was a legacy with regard to sport so as to increase opportunities for people and ensure that they were not marginalised. In addition, a Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee was examining the issue of hate crime which was also an important issue with regard to building a disability friendly City.

Councillor Jackie Drayton, the Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Families, stated that with regard to children and young people, and the electoral process, citizenship work took place in schools. The Council was also trying to encourage all young people to travel independently. Whilst this process might take time, it helped them in a journey to greater independence and changed their lives.

#### 4.2.4 Public Questions Concerning Street Trees

Paul Brooke asked a question with regard to the assertion that there were financial costs to the Council as a result of campaigners delaying tree felling. He referred to a clause in the Streets Ahead contract which said that the Council would not be responsible for any protester or financial loss caused by them. He asked for an explanation of how the protests were causing additional costs to the Council.

Calvin Payne asked a question about whether it was in the public interest for people to be arrested, detained and charged for something which did not appear to have been a crime; and with regard to the use of police time and personnel in attending peaceful and lawful action.

Justin Buxton asked a question concerning whether the Leader of the Council and the Cabinet Member for Environment had read the Streets Ahead Contract. Secondly, he asked about the resources for oversight and monitoring of the contract. Thirdly, Mr Buxton asked about the potential use of Flexi Pave and the effect of protests on its installation.

Annette Taberner asked whether the Leader of the Council had signed the Streets Ahead contract. She also stated that she had been informed that she would be arrested if she peacefully protested in her own garden. She asked whether the Leader of the Council felt this was a disproportionate use of legislation and was the Council concerned to help ensure the rights of peaceful protest and how was it protecting those rights?

Councillor Bryan Lodge, the Cabinet Member for Environment, responded to the questions. He stated that there was a clause in the Streets Ahead contract which said that Amey was responsible. However, there was also a clause which stated that if the delay was due to factors outside of Amey's control, for example, injunctions; protests, which prevented them carrying on with work; court cases; or the setting up of the Independent Tree Panel, then there was a cost to such activity and that cost came back to the Council. The costs did not impact on Amey's profits.

The contract was specific and complex. Both the current Labour administration and the former Liberal Democrat administration of the Council were involved in putting the contract together. The delays had resulted in costs to the Council because of the months of time lost, which had prevented the resurfacing and repair of 75km of pavements and 6km of road from being repaired and brought back up to standard. He said that it was anticipated that the costs to the Council would run into millions. That included court costs; the use of additional crews to attempt to catch up and rescheduling work. This was a cost to the Council Tax payers in the City and it was hard to accept.

Councillor Lodge said that the Council did support the right to peaceful protest and the right of people to conduct their lawful work in the City. It was appreciated that people have a right to protest but it was also asked that people conduct themselves in a manner which did not put anyone at risk and which did not put the people at work, the public or protesters themselves at risk. Any delays to the tree replacement programme would have an impact on Council Tax payers in the City.

With regards to the use of arrest by the police, that was an issue which would need to be taken up with South Yorkshire Police. The Council was responsible as the highways authority and had duties under the Highways Act and equalities legislation to ensure that the highways were at a good standard for everybody. Issues around policing were a matter for South Yorkshire Police.

Councillor Lodge said that, with regard to a Radio Sheffield 'Hot Seat' programme which he had appeared on and with regard to the reading of the Streets Ahead contract, he had commented to the presenter, Toby Foster that he should read contracts in reference to contractual issues which he had faced. Councillor Lodge confirmed that he had had sight of all parts of the contract that he needed to know. A City Councillor could make a request to the Council's Monitoring Officer with regards to access to the contract and there were legal criteria with regard to the need to see the information.

He referred to email correspondence with Mr Buxton and asked Mr Buxton to

send the email in question to him again and he would look at the issues which had he raised including with regard to Flexi Pave and would respond to him in writing.

As regards resources for the oversight of the Streets Ahead programme, at the time, when the Cabinet Member had addressed this issue, it was considered that there were adequate resources. However, since that time there had been delays, court cases and rescheduling of work and there were additional costs.

The Council did support the right to peaceful protest and recognised that right. It had a duty, with other organisations, to ensure that people had that right. In addition, people were asked to allow the Council, Amey and other organisations to carry out their work. The matter had been to the High Court and had been tested in that place.

Councillor Julie Dore, the Leader of the Council, said that regard to the Streets Ahead contract, she did not sign the contract. She confirmed that she did have access to the contract.

# 5. REPRESENTATIONS, DELEGATED AUTHORITY AND RELATED ISSUES

- 5.1 RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Peter Rippon, seconded by Councillor Olivia Blake, that:-
  - (a) Councillor Michelle Cook be appointed to serve on the Learn Sheffield Board in place of Councillor Mike Drabble; and
  - (b) Councillor Lisa Banes be appointed to serve on the Sheffield Industrial Museums Trust in place of Councillor Bryan Lodge.

#### 6. SUSPENSION OF PROCEDURAL RULES

- 6.1 RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Peter Rippon, seconded by Councillor David Baker, that as regards Agenda Item 5 (Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2017/18), and in accordance with Council Procedure Rules 4 (Suspension and Amendment of Council Procedure Rules) and 11 (Motions which may be moved without notice):-
  - (a) Council Procedure Rule 17.5 be suspended to remove the 3 minute time limit on the speeches of the movers and seconders of amendments, and a new time limit be set for those speeches of 10 minutes for the movers and 5 minutes for the seconders, with all other speakers continuing to have 3 minutes; and
  - (b) Council Procedure Rule 17.11(a) be suspended to remove the right of reply for the mover of the motion.

#### 7. REVENUE BUDGET AND CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2017/18

7.1 It was formally moved by Councillor Peter Rippon and formally seconded by Councillor Olivia Blake, that the following decisions taken by the Cabinet at its meeting on 15th February, 2017, arising from its consideration of reports of the Acting Executive Director, Resources on the Revenue Budget 2017/18 and the Capital Programme 2017/18, be approved:-

#### **REVENUE BUDGET 2017/18**

"RESOLVED: That Cabinet recommends to the meeting of the City Council on 3rd March 2017:-

- (a) to approve a net Revenue Budget for 2017/18 amounting to £395.551m;
- (b) to approve a Band D equivalent Council Tax of £1,428.36 for City Council services, i.e. an increase of 4.99% (1.99% City Council increase and 3% national arrangement for the social care precept);
- (c) to approve the Revenue Budget allocations and Budget Implementation Plans for each of the services, as set out in Appendix 2 of the report;
- (d) to note that, based on the estimated expenditure level set out in Appendix 3 to the report, the amounts shown in part B of Appendix 6 would be calculated by the City Council for the year 2017/18, in accordance with sections 30 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;
- (e) to note that the section 151 officer has reviewed the robustness of the estimates and the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves, in accordance with Part 2 of the Local Government Act 2003. Further details can be found in Appendix 4 of the report;
- (f) to note the information on the precepts issued by the South Yorkshire Police & Crime Commissioner and of South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority, together with the impact of these on the overall amount of Council Tax to be charged in the City Council's area;
- (g) to approve the proposed amount of compensation to Parish Councils for the loss of Council Tax income in 2017/18 at the levels shown in the table in paragraph 177 of the report;
- (h) to note the latest 2016/17 budget monitoring position;
- (i) to approve the Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategies set out in Appendix 7 of the report and the recommendations contained therein;
- (j) to approve the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Statement set out in Appendix 7 of the report;

- (k) to agree that authority be delegated to the Director of Finance to undertake Treasury Management activity, to create and amend appropriate Treasury Management Practice Statements and to report on the operation of Treasury Management activity on the terms set out in these documents;
- (I) to approve a Pay Policy for 2017/18 as set out in Appendix 8 of the report; and
- (m) to agree that authority be delegated to the Executive Director of Communities to set subject to budgetary constraints a framework of care home & home care fee increases with effect from 1 April 2017."

# **CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2017/18**

"RESOLVED: That Cabinet recommends to the meeting of the City Council on 3rd March 2017, that Members:-

- (a) note the specific projects included in the years 2017-18 to 2022-23 programmes at Appendix 9. Block allocations are included within the programme for noting at this stage and detailed proposals will be brought back for separate Member approval as part of the monthly monitoring procedures;
- (b) note the proposed Capital Programme for the 6 years to 2022/23 as per Appendix 9 of the report; and
- (c) approve the Corporate Resource Pool policy outlined in Appendix 4 such that the commitment from the CRP is limited to one year and no CRP supported schemes are approved beyond 2017/18 unless explicitly stated. Further reports will be brought to Members as part of the monthly approval process should the receipts position improve."
- 7.2 Whereupon, it was moved by Councillor Ben Curran, seconded by Councillor Julie Dore, that the recommendations of the Cabinet held on 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2017, as relates to the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2017/18, be replaced by the following resolution:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (1) places on record its thanks to the staff who continue to serve the Council in these incredibly difficult times, which year on year leads to uncertainty about their own futures and that of their colleagues, many of whom are left to pick up an increased workload as a result of cuts to staffing numbers:
- (2) regrets that since 2010, central government funding to Sheffield City Council has been decimated, and notes that government funding is reducing, meaning the Council has to find £40m worth of savings for the

financial year;

- (3) notes the Provisional Local Government Finance Settlement details the change in core spending power for Sheffield amounts to a reduction of 2.0% (compared to the England average of 1.1%) from 2016/17 to 2017/18;
- (4) notes that £40million worth of savings is needed to be made from the Council's budget for the next year, and is in addition to the £350m of savings already made since the formation of the Liberal Democrat-Conservative coalition government in 2010;
- (5) recalls that in the original 2010 Comprehensive Spending Review, the Coalition Government committed to eliminating the deficit within four years, meaning that the Council's 2017/18 budget was estimated to be the third year that the cuts were over;
- (6) believes that the fact that the Council now faces further cuts over the coming year(s) is a damning indictment of the failure of the previous coalition government to eliminate the deficit, despite inflicting unprecedented cuts to public services and that Labour's growth-led recovery would have cut the deficit at a much quicker rate;
- (7) regrets that the current government are continuing with the same failed policies of the previous coalition government; continuing to cut local government services to the bone, whilst at the same time implementing policies which only benefit the very wealthy, such as raising the threshold for inheritance tax and increasing the 40p income tax band;
- (8) believes that Councils are bearing the brunt of an austerity programme in its seventh year; it is, as such, this Council's contention that the continuation of austerity is a political choice by the Government based on their ideological commitment to shrinking the state, rather than an economic imperative, a belief shared by the Liberal Democrats who went along with this at every step of the way when in coalition government;
- (9) believes that the Labour Party is right to call to an immediate end the unnecessary and deeply damaging austerity programme devised by the Coalition Government and now continued by the incumbent Government and notes that the Labour Party is united in its total opposition of this;
- (10) notes that in addition to the cuts being forced on local authorities, the increasing external pressures such as an ageing population and increased demand for services at an increased cost, and the current crisis in adult social care, is making it harder and harder for councils to balance their budgets and provide the desired services;
- (11) believes that the current crisis in social care has reached a "breaking point", but that this crisis has been seven years in the making as government funding to services have become more sparse;

- (12) notes that the Council spends a significant portion of its budget on adult social care but that, due to increasing pressures, it is getting harder to provide the necessary services for adult social care, and that this is in part due to external factors such as an ageing population and increased demands for services at an increased cost;
- (13) highlights that in addition to the increasing pressure on services, central government grants and funding are being reduced and this has resulted in an increasing "budget gap", and this is projected to have grown to £116 million by 2021/22;
- (14) believes that given the dreadful financial settlement given to the Council and the terrible legacy of the Coalition Government on local government finance, the present Administration have protected front line services as far as possible and focused on protecting services for the most vulnerable;
- (15) believes that due to the magnitude of government cuts over the past seven years and increased pressure on services, it was unavoidable that the Council would seek to put up Council Tax;
- (16) further believes it is unavoidable to implement the Chancellor's social care "precept" of three per cent as outlined in the 2015 Spending Review and Autumn Statement;
- (17) notes that whilst the Government have promised not to increase taxes, it is in effect forcing local authorities to do this work for them, with councils needing to increase Council Tax in order to try to plug the shortfall in finances caused by increasing pressures and the reductions in central government grants;
- (18) believes that the three per cent Council Tax precept for social care does not even fully cover the Council's need to pay providers properly so they can fulfil their obligations to pay staff the National Living Wage, and that the precept is not enough to stem the funding crisis;
- (19) makes an additional point on the above in regard to social care, that despite the short-term financial pressures caused by the introduction of the National Living Wage, it may have a positive impact on our local care market by driving up the attractiveness of working in the sector and therefore increasing the security and stability of our providers (which in turn may then require less intervention on the Council's part), and notes that the Labour Party is committed to an actual National Living Wage (as determined by the independent Living Wage Foundation) rather than the higher rate of minimum wage we currently have and, additionally, this Administration contends that we are not in funding crisis because of the need to pay care workers a decent wage but because of more systemic problems;

- (20) notes that for 2017/18, the additional £5.4m raised through the social care precept still leaves the Council needing to find a further £35m, including a revenue support grant (RSG) cut of £23m, and that the precept fails to address the increased cost of providing social care alone, and believes that the real issues the Government must address is the lack of funding for local authorities and the need to tackle the social care crisis, however, this Administration believes it would be irresponsible not to use this funding to protect care services as far as possible and this is why we are doing so;
- (21) reinstates its support to calls for the Government to provide emergency funds of £700 million into social care to help stem the funding crisis, and states its disappointment that the Government are so far unwilling to grant this request despite it clearly being required;
- (22) notes that most local authorities are facing similar difficulties to meet the rising social care pressures; exemplified by Surrey Council originally proposing to hold a referendum on increasing Council Tax by 15% in order to meet their social care costs, and believes that this was only dropped after a deal, seemingly made in secret, was forged by the Government and the Conservative-run Surrey Council to provide additional central government funding to spare the Government any embarrassment;
- (23) believes it is incredulous that a special deal for Surrey Council seems to have been agreed by the Government behind closed doors and this Council questions whether the Government have finally recognised that local government is grossly underfunded, as seen by their "special deal" to Surrey; and that the Government should recognise that there will be a £2.6bn shortfall in social care funding by 2020;
- (24) contends that if a deal was struck, Government Ministers should offer the same deal given to Surrey to all councils, regardless of political affiliation;
- (25) believes we have a crisis in social care, resulting from the Coalition Government's cuts to local authority funding and the continuation of these cuts by the present Government, and that secret backroom deals are not the answer as we urgently need a proper solution and to provide councils with the funding they need to solve this crisis;
- (26) notes the similarities of the Government's 2016 £300 million relief fund, whereby Sheffield received nothing, yet the vast majority of funding went to Conservative-controlled areas; the largest beneficiary was Surrey, getting £24m, whilst £19m went to Hampshire, £16m to Hertfordshire, £14m to Essex, £12m to West Sussex, £11m to Kent and £9m to Buckinghamshire, and in total 83% of the funding has been given to Conservative-controlled councils, typically in the most affluent areas of the country, whilst councils in more deprived areas with the greatest level of need are not being supported despite receiving much greater cuts over

the last five years;

- care Support Grant of £241m nationally, but that it is only available for 2017/18 and this Council believes the Grant is beyond feeble in its attempt to meet the required funding level; the Adult Social Care Support Grant allocates funding according to the Social Care needs formula, which does not take into account the ability to raise funds through the social care precept, and is financed from a reduced New Homes Bonus allocation; this new grant is estimated to only provide additional funding of £2.7m to Sheffield and is a temporary measure for one year only; making it a small help in the short-term but it is completely inadequate to cover the financial shortfall and provides no longer term benefits;
- (28) reinstates that this Administration is committed to helping those who are struggling to pay for Council Tax and will renew last year's Council Tax Support Scheme, which last year helped over 52,000 households, and will continue to call for the Government to reintroduce a fully funded council tax benefit scheme;
- (29) believes that the Administration's continuation of the Council Tax Support Scheme shows that only a Labour council can be trusted to make sure that tax rises are not "balanced on the backs of the poor" and notes that this is in stark contrast to the actions of the Liberal Democrats in coalition government who raised VAT and slashed disability benefits, affecting most the very poorest, whilst at the same time reducing the top-rate of tax for the very wealthiest;
- (30) reaffirms the Administration's housing strategy, as set out in the Housing Revenue Account (HRA), and commitment to social housing; highlighting that despite the challenging financial climate, the Authority is almost one third of the way toward its target of 1,000 extra council homes; with a switch of focus from acquisitions to new build with no overall increase in the cost of the programme, and that a higher percentage of new builds within the programme will help us to build the mix of housing that we need and we will continue to deliver, as planned, improvements to our tenants' homes to make sure they continue to be well maintained over the next 5 years;
- (31) notes that as a result of budget cuts, the Council could lose up to 225 jobs during the financial year 2017/18; and that this Administration, as in previous years, will take steps to minimise redundancies, such as offering voluntary severance and voluntary early retirement schemes, as well as using vacancies not yet filled;
- (32) expresses sincere and heartfelt sympathy to those members of staff who are losing their jobs through redundancy and regrets that the Government's cuts and austerity programme has made redundancies unavoidable;

- (33) believes that despite the difficulties, this Administration has provided six years of progress for the city and is committed to bringing about positive changes for the people of Sheffield; improving living standards for all and driving up growth for our local economy; as such we welcome the exciting developments of the Sheffield Retail Quarter and new investment into the city region from McLaren and Boeing and will constantly seek to build on our successes;
- (34) therefore requests the Acting Executive Director, Resources to implement the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2017/2018 in accordance with the details set out in the reports on the Revenue Budget and Capital Programme now submitted;
- (35) notes those specific projects included in the years 2017/18 to 2022/23 Capital Programmes at Appendix 9 of the report on the Capital Programme, and that block allocations are included within the Programme for noting at this stage and detailed proposals will be brought back for separate Member approval as part of the monthly monitoring procedures;
- (36) notes the proposed Capital Programme for the 6 years to 2022/23 as per Appendix 9 of the report on the Capital Programme;
- (37) approves the Corporate Resource Pool (CRP) policy outlined in Appendix 4 of the report on the Capital Programme such that the commitment from the CRP is limited to one year and no CRP supported schemes are approved beyond 2017/18 unless explicitly stated, and that further reports will be brought to Members as part of the monthly approval process should the receipts position improve;
- (38) after noting the joint report of the Chief Executive and the Acting Executive Director, Resources now submitted on the Revenue Budget 2017/18, approves and adopts a net Revenue Budget for 2017/18 amounting to £395.551m, as set out in Appendix 3 of that report, as follows:-

		Appendix 3
Original Budget 2016/17	Summary Revenue Budget	Original Budget 2017/18
£000		£000
	Portfolio budgets:	
66,423	Children Young People and Families	66,239
136,587	Communities	140,061
129,101	Place	128,742
1,900	Policy Performance and Communications	1,898
52,224	Resources	53,200

386,235		390,140
	Corporate Budgets:	
-74,601 -9,323 -1,490 -2,880 0 0	Specific Grants PFI Grant New Homes Bonus (LGF) Business Rates Transitional Grant Small Business Rates Relief Improved Better Care Fund CCG Better Care Fund Income Adult Social Care Grant (2017/18 only)	-74,437 -7,029 -1,467 -3,976 -2,188 -5,000 -2,717
8,200 -18,846 8,405 -698 2,700 4,555 0	Corporate Items Redundancy Provision Pension Costs New Homes Bonus (LGF) Public Health Savings / re-investments Independent Living Fund Pressure Better Care Fund Social Care Risk Strengthening Families - Think Forward Investment	6,200 -13,567 7,029 -698 0 3,000 2,000 4,000
25,094 600 27 300 -9,300 80,100 1,067	Schools and Howden PFI Infrastructure Investment Payment to Parish Councils ICT Refresh Better Care Fund Pension Deficit Payment Other	25,285 900 22 300 0 0 1,523
23,681 8,314 28,199	Capital Financing Costs General Capital Financing Costs Highways PFI Capital Financing Costs MSF Capital Financing Costs	22,944 11,630 18,844
-882 -53,400	Reserves Movements Contribution from Reserves Reserves Movements Relating to Pension Early Payment	-7,604 20,417
406,057	Total Expenditure	395,551
	Financing of Net Expenditure	
-90,592 -106,131 -29,124 -176,467 -283 -3,460	Revenue Support Grant NNDR/Business Rates Income Business Rates Top Up Grant Council Tax income Collection Fund surplus Social Care Precept	-67,790 -96,746 -39,583 -182,116 -398 -8,918

- (39) approves a Band D equivalent Council Tax of £1,428.36 for City Council services, i.e. an increase of 4.99% (1.99% City Council increase and 3% national arrangement for the social care precept);
- (40) approves the Revenue Budget allocations and Budget Implementation Plans for each of the services, as set out in Appendix 2 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (41) notes the latest 2016/17 budget monitoring position;
- (42) approves the Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategies set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report and the recommendations contained therein;
- (43) approves the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Statement set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (44) agrees that authority be delegated to the Acting Executive Director of Resources to undertake Treasury Management activity, to create and amend appropriate Treasury Management Practice Statements and to report on the operation of Treasury Management activity on the terms set out in these documents:
- (45) approves a Pay Policy for 2017/18 as set out in Appendix 8 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (46) approves the proposed amount of compensation to Parish Councils for the loss of Council Tax income in 2017/18 at the levels shown in the table below paragraph 177 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (47) notes that the Section 151 Officer has reviewed the robustness of the estimates and the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves, in accordance with Part 2 of the Local Government Act 2003, and further details can be found in Appendix 4 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (48) notes the precepts issued by local parish councils which add £512,236 to the calculation of the budget requirement in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;
- (49) notes the information on the precepts issued by the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner and the South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority, together with the impact of these on the overall amount of Council Tax to be charged in the City Council's area;
- (50) notes that, based on the estimated expenditure level of £395.551m set out in paragraph (38) above, the amounts shown in Appendix 6b below

would be calculated by the City Council for the year 2017/18, in accordance with Sections 30 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:

Appendix 6a

# CITY OF SHEFFIELD CALCULATION OF RECOMMENDED COUNCIL TAX FOR 2017/18 REVENUE BUDGET

The Council is recommended to resolve as follows:

- 1. It be noted that on 15th January 2017, the Council calculated the Council Tax Base 2017/18
  - (a) for the whole council area as:
    - **133,743.89** (item T in the formula in Section 31B of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, as amended (the "Act")); and
  - (b) for dwellings in those parts of its area to which a Parish precept relates as in the attached Appendix 6c.
- 2. Calculate that the Council Tax requirement for the Council's own purposes for 2017/18 (excluding Parish precepts is:
  - £ 191,034,345.
- 3. That the following amounts be calculated for the year 2017/18 in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Act:
- (a) £ 1,343,486,330 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils.
- (b) £ 1,151,939,749 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.
- (c) £ 191,546,581 being the amount by which the aggregate at 3(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 3(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act as its Council Tax requirement for the year (item R in the formula in Section 31B of the Act).
- (d) £ 1,432.1894 being the amount at 3(c) above (Item R), all divided by item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year (including Parish Precepts).
- (e) £ 512,236 being the aggregate amount of all special items (Parish precepts) referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (as per the attached Appendix 6b).

- (f) £ 1,428.3595 being the amount at 3(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 3(e) above by Item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no Parish precept relates.
- 4. To note that the Police and Crime Commissioner and the Fire and Rescue Authority have issued precepts to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for each category of dwellings in the Council's area as indicated in the table overleaf.
- 5. **£ 8,918,499** The amount set by the authority at 2 above, under section 30 of the Act, includes an amount attributable to the adult social care precept.
- 6. That the Council, in accordance with Sections 30 and 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the aggregate amounts shown in the tables below as the amounts of Council Tax for 2017/18 for each part of its area and for each of the categories of dwellings.

# **Sheffield City Council (non-parish areas)**

Valuation Band										
	A B C D E F G									
Sheffield City Council South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	952.24 45.97 105.44	1,110.95 53.64 123.01	1,269.65 61.30 140.59	68.96	84.28	2,063.19 99.61 228.45	114.93			
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,103.65	1,287.60	1,471.54	1,655.48	2,023.36	2,391.25	2,759.13	3,310.96		

#### **Bradfield Parish Council**

Valuation Band									
	A B C D						G	Н	
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72	
Bradfield Parish Council	26.85	31.32	35.80	40.27	49.22	58.17	67.12	80.55	
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92	
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32	
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,130.50	1,318.92	1,507.34	1,695.75	2,072.58	2,449.42	2,826.25	3,391.51	

#### **Ecclesfield Parish Council**

	valuation band								
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72	
Ecclesfield Parish Council	10.67	12.45	14.23	16.01	19.56	23.12	26.68	32.01	
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92	
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32	
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,114.32	1,300.05	1,485.77	1,671.49	2,042.92	2,414.37	2,785.81	3,342.97	

Valuation Rand

# **Stocksbridge Town Council**

Valuation Band									
	Α	В	G	Н					
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72	
Stocksbridge Town Council	20.65	24.09	27.53	30.97	37.86	44.74	51.62	61.94	
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92	
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32	
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,124.30	1,311.69	1,499.07	1,686.45	2,061.22	2,435.99	2,810.75	3,372.90	

7. The Council's basic amount of Council Tax is not excessive in accordance with the principles approved under Section 52ZB of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, therefore no referendum is required.

# Appendix 6b

Council Tax Schedule 2017/18	Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D	Band E	Band F	Band G	Band H
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Total charge for non- parish areas of Sheffield	1,103.65	1,287.60	1,471.54	1,655.48	2,023.36	2,391.25	2,759.13	3,310.96
Bradfield Parish Council	1,130.50	1,318.92	1,507.34	1,695.75	2,072.58	2,449.42	2,826.25	3,391.51
Ecclesfield Parish Council	1,114.32	1,300.05	1,485.77	1,671.49	2,042.92	2,414.37	2,785.81	3,342.97
Stocksbridge Town Council	1,124.30	1,311.69	1,499.07	1,686.45	2,061.22	2,435.99	2,810.75	3,372.90

# **Appendix 6c**

					2016/17						2017/18
Parish Council	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Council Tax Increase

Bradfield	5,663.47	223,611	39.4831	10,005	233,616	5,713.66	230,105	40.2727	8,004	238,109	2.00%
Ecclesfield	9,088.35	141,242	15.5410	10,041	151,283	9,149.98	146,466	16.0072	8,033	154,499	3.00%
Stocksbridge	3,665.37	111,299	30.3651	7,224	118,524	3,675.84	113,849	30.9724	5,779	119,629	2.00%
Total/average	18,417.19	476,153	25.8537	27,270	503,423	18,539.48	490,420	26.4527	21,816	12,236	2.32%

7.2.1 (NOTE: With the agreement of the Council, and at the request of the mover of the amendment (Councillor Ben Curran) following a Point of Order raised by Councillor Shaffaq Mohammed as to an issue of factual accuracy, the amendment as circulated at the meeting was altered by the substitution of the word "believes" for the word "notes" at the beginning of paragraph (8)).

#### 7.2.2 Motion to move to next business

RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Peter Rippon, seconded by Councillor Olivia Blake, that (in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 17.13) the Council does now move to the next business and that the guestion be now put.

- 7.2.3 On being put to the vote, the amendment was carried.
- 7.2.4 The votes on the amendment were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

For the amendment (53)

Councillors Helen Mirfin-Boukouris, Chris Rosling-Josephs, Ian Saunders, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Kieran Harpham, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Craig Gamble Pugh, Mazher Iqbal, Mary Lea, Zahira Naz, Andy Bainbridge, Steve Wilson, Abdul Khayum, Alan Law, Abtisam Mohamed, Lewis Dagnall, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Bob Johnson, George Lindars-Hammond, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Terry Fox, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Tony Downing, Nasima Akther, Mohammad Maroof, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Leigh Bramall, Tony Damms, Javne Dunn, Richard Crowther, Olivia Blake, Ben Curran, Neale Gibson, Adam Hurst, Zoe Sykes, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Against the amendment (28)

 Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Magid Magid, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Adam Hanrahan, Joe Otten, Colin Ross, Martin Smith, Pauline Andrews, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, Alison Teal, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley, Jack Clarkson, Keith Davis and John Booker.

Abstained on the amendment (1)

- The Lord Mayor (Councillor Denise Fox).
- 7.3 It was then moved by Councillor Penny Baker, seconded by Councillor Shaffaq Mohammed, as an amendment, that the recommendations of the Cabinet held on 15th February, 2017, as relates to the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2017/18, be replaced by the following resolution:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (1) would like to thank the staff who have been so helpful and accommodating during this budget setting process, and all of Sheffield City Council's staff who continue to work so hard for the people of Sheffield;
- (2) recognises that the last few years have been difficult for Local Government, as they have had to take their share of responsibility for balancing the books and reducing the UK's current budget deficit following the 2008 financial crash and what the International Monetary Fund described as the worst global recession since World War II;
- (3) condemns the current Government's plan to restrict local government funding further until at least 2020, believing this to be above and beyond what is necessary, forcing Local Authorities to raise regressive Council Tax, instead of funding local government more fairly out of general taxation:
- (4) particularly condemns the current Government's approach to funding the NHS and Adult Social Care, and believes that the Adult Social Care precept is a sticking plaster over a gaping wound and that a new long term funding settlement is desperately needed to sustain vital services, particularly for places like Sheffield which had a relatively low council tax base but a high level of need;
- (5) believes that although the Council is facing financially difficult times, the current Administration has still had choices about where to spend our money, and have often made the wrong choices over the past 6 years, for example:-
  - (i) protecting tax payer subsidies for Trade Unions whilst slashing funding for libraries;

- (ii) continuing to spend vast amounts on Council spin doctors whilst cutting front line services; and
- (iii) spending millions on costly consultants whilst allowing care homes to close;
- (6) further believes that this city still suffers from the poor choices made by previous Labour Administrations, particularly the financial burden of around £19million every year until 2024 to repay the debt from the major sports facilities associated with the financially disastrous World Student Games, even after Don Valley Stadium has now been demolished;
- (7) is disappointed that the Sheffield City Region Devolution Deal has been delayed and, as a result, £30million of central government funding that was going to be devolved to Sheffield City Region has been held back for another year, at a time when it is needed the most;
- (8) is deeply concerned for the future of the Devolution Deal and future associated funding given the perceived lack of enthusiasm amongst some South Yorkshire leaders for the deal in its current form, despite it being the only deal available from the Government, and believes that this is in part due to the weak leadership of Sheffield;
- (9) believes that, even more concerning than some of their financial choices, is the way this Administration operates, centralising decision making and often ignoring the concerns and wishes of the people of Sheffield;
- (10) asserts that the purpose of this Council is to represent and work for the people of Sheffield, and that it is important that our decision making reflects the interests of the city as a whole;
- (11) notes the growing number of large petitions being presented to this Council, and believes this demonstrates that a change of approach is needed:
- (12) believes that there is a better, different way for a city council to operate and that this budget amendment demonstrates that it is possible, even in difficult times, to be responsive and work with local people;
- (13) believes that local Councillors and local people are often best placed to take decisions over the things that affect them and their local areas and therefore wants to revolutionise how decisions are made in this Council, by devolving real budgets over to communities, to be spent on their priorities, not the Labour Party's;
- (14) by making some simple savings and spending the same money differently, the Liberal Democrat alternative budget would:-
  - (i) keep Hurlfield View dementia respite centre open by retendering

- the contract to provide the service, recognising that this cannot be achieved in the short term; and in parallel, will seek to cancel the newly-let community-based contracts;
- (ii) devolve £1.4 million of Local Transport Funding to local communities to spend on the highway improvements that they think are the most important; this could be spent on safe crossings for school pupils, such as the badly needed crossings for school children at Hangingwater Road in Fulwood and at Station Road in Halfway;
- (iii) establish a new "Greener Neighbourhoods Fund" of almost £1million to be spent by local people on improving their local environment, whether that be through retaining highway trees, park improvements, innovative recycling schemes or community gardens;
- (iv) clean up Sheffield by investing in a task force to crack down on litter, fly tipping, graffiti and dog mess, and reversing a small cut to this budget; this could also generate income for the Council by increasing the number of fines issued to people who don't respect our city;
- (v) introduce free evening and Sunday parking in the city centre to encourage footfall and help city centre business to thrive;
- (vi) invest in regeneration projects for Woodseats and Hillsborough centres with unused funds earmarked for bringing empty shops back into use;
- (vii) investigate the possibility of a "Sheffield Pound", a local currency that would encourage spending on local business;
- (viii) fund more staff posts in the Council's Private Sector Housing team to deal with some of the problems in the city's fast growing private rented housing sector;
- (ix) support Citizen's Advice Bureau with an increase in grant funding;
- (x) put aside extra funding for more school crossing patrols that do not qualify for a patrol under the Council's current criteria, to bring the numbers back to 2011 levels;
- (xi) support Sheffield's foster carers, who look after some of our city's most challenging and vulnerable children, and encourage more people to sign up to become foster families with a discretionary reimbursement of Council Tax; this has the potential to save the Council millions in reducing the amount spent on agency foster placements;

- (xii) support Associate Libraries and their volunteers by providing professional librarian support; and
- (xiii) give a small budget to local Councillors to spend on commissioning activities for young and old people in their areas, to replace some of the lost activities from the closure of Activity Sheffield:
- (15) believes that the people of Sheffield deserve a City Council that provides good value for money for all residents of Sheffield, is open for business, is responsive to and works with the people of Sheffield and protects our natural environment and heritage which make our city such a great place to live:
- (16) therefore requests the Acting Executive Director, Resources to implement the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2017/2018 in accordance with the details set out in the reports on the Revenue Budget and Capital Programme now submitted, but with the following amendments:-

# **REVENUE BUDGET**

#### **General Fund**

Savings	£'000	Investments / spending options	£'000
Reduce posts within the Communications team	125	Discretionary reimbursement of Council Tax for foster carers	275
Reduce the number of Cabinet posts by 1	9	Retender the contract for Hurlfield View to continue to provide the current level of service	400
Withdraw funding from Sheffield City Partnership Board	10	Work with community groups to investigate the possibility of a Sheffield Pound	24
Set a modest target (5%) for savings on consultants	50	Invest in crossing patrols for schools which currently fall below the threshold for wardens, and where road safety conditions allow	33
Delete 2 senior manager posts (assume 6 months saving)	100		

# Budget Council 03/03/17

Savings total

Remove Leader's Policy Officer post	30									
Cut to taxpayer subsidy to trade unions	363									
Delete SRAs for Cabinet Advisors	45									
The following savings schemes require the agreement of new contracts, or actions to be agreed with other bodies. Consequently the following investments are proposed conditionally on the successful implementation of these savings schemes.										
Set a modest savings target for shared services with other Local Authorities in Sheffield City Region	100	Recruit more posts in Private Sector Housing team	250							
Pay review - 5% reduction for staff on salaries between £39k and £80k (assume 6 months saving)	763	Devolve funds to the LAP for local members to commission activities	190							
Pay review - 10% reduction for staff on a salary of >£80k (assume 6 months saving)	150	Make city centre parking free on Sundays and evenings	241							
		Reverse cut in fly tipping and graffiti contract budget	9							
		Professional librarian support for associate libraries	123							
		Increase grant to Citizen's Advice Bureau	50							
		Increase funding for the Environmental Enforcement team for a "Clean Up Sheffield" task force with target of 20% recovery via fines for littering, fly tipping and dog fouling	150							

1,745

Investments total

1,745

# **CAPITAL BUDGET**

Savings	£'000	Investments / spending options	£'000
Use of uncommitted 2,000 Growth Investment Fund		Creation of a "Greener Neighbourhoods Fund" to be devolved to local communities to be allocated via the LAP (funded by Growth Investment Fund)	985
Use of unutilised fund for bringing empty shops back into use	empty shops back Hillsborough and		
		Top up Local Transport Fund to be allocated via the LAP	700
Savings total	2,185	Investments total	2,185
LOCAL TRANSPORT PLA	<u>N</u>		
Savings	£'000	Investments / spending proposals	£'000
Nil		Re-allocate decision making over £1.4 million of transport funding away from Cabinet Member to local communities in a " to be allocated via the LAP	Cost neutr al
Savings total	Nil	Investments total	Nil

- (17) notes those specific projects included in the years 2017/18 to 2022/23 Capital Programmes at Appendix 9 of the report on the Capital Programme, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (16) above, and that block allocations are included within the Programme for noting at this stage and detailed proposals will be brought back for separate Member approval as part of the monthly monitoring procedures;
- (18) notes the proposed Capital Programme for the 6 years to 2022/23 as per Appendix 9 of the report on the Capital Programme, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (16) above;
- (19) approves the Corporate Resource Pool (CRP) policy outlined in Appendix 4 of the report on the Capital Programme such that the

commitment from the CRP is limited to one year and no CRP supported schemes are approved beyond 2017/18 unless explicitly stated, and that further reports will be brought to Members as part of the monthly approval process should the receipts position improve;

(20) after noting the joint report of the Chief Executive and the Acting Executive Director, Resources now submitted on the Revenue Budget 2017/18, approves and adopts a net Revenue Budget for 2017/18 amounting to £395.551m, as set out in Appendix 3 of that report, and subsequently amended in the light of paragraph (16) above, as follows:-

	Summary Payanua Budgat	Appendix 3
Original Budget 2016/17	Summary Revenue Budget	Original Budget 2017/18
£000		£000
66,423 136,587 129,101 1,900 52,224 386,235	Portfolio budgets: Children Young People and Families Communities Place Policy Performance and Communications Resources	66,262 140,911 128,928 1,740 52,299 <b>390,140</b>
	Corporate Budgets:	
-74,601 -9,323 -1,490 -2,880 0 0	Specific Grants PFI Grant New Homes Bonus (LGF) Business Rates Transitional Grant Small Business Rates Relief Improved Better Care Fund CCG Better Care Fund Income Adult Social Care Grant (2017/18 only)	-74,437 -7,029 -1,467 -3,976 -2,188 -5,000 -2,717
8,200 -18,846 8,405 -698 2,700 4,555 0	Corporate Items Redundancy Provision Pension Costs New Homes Bonus (LGF) Public Health Savings / re-investments Independent Living Fund Pressure Better Care Fund Social Care Risk Strengthening Families - Think Forward Investment	6,200 -13,567 7,029 -698 0 3,000 2,000 4,000
25,094 600 27	Schools and Howden PFI Infrastructure Investment Payment to Parish Councils	25,285 900 22

Annendix 3

300 -9,300 80,100 1,067	ICT Refresh Better Care Fund Pension Deficit Payment Other	300 0 0 1,523
23,681 8,314 28,199	Capital Financing Costs General Capital Financing Costs Highways PFI Capital Financing Costs MSF Capital Financing Costs	22,944 11,630 18,844
-882 -53,400 <b>406,057</b>	Reserves Movements Contribution from Reserves Reserves Movements Relating to Pension Early Payment Total Expenditure	-7,604 20,417 <b>395,551</b>
	Financing of Net Expenditure	
-90,592 -106,131 -29,124 -176,467 -283 -3,460	Revenue Support Grant NNDR/Business Rates Income Business Rates Top Up Grant Council Tax income Collection Fund surplus Social Care Precept	-67,790 -96,746 -39,583 -182,116 -398 -8,918
-406,057	Total Financing	-395,551

- (21) approves a Band D equivalent Council Tax of £1,428.36 for City Council services, i.e. an increase of 4.99% (1.99% City Council increase and 3% national arrangement for the social care precept);
- (22) approves the Revenue Budget allocations and Budget Implementation Plans for each of the services, as set out in Appendix 2 of the Revenue Budget report, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (16) above;
- (23) notes the latest 2016/17 budget monitoring position;
- (24) approves the Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategies set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report and the recommendations contained therein;
- (25) approves the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Statement set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (26) agrees that authority be delegated to the Acting Executive Director of Resources to undertake Treasury Management activity, to create and amend appropriate Treasury Management Practice Statements and to report on the operation of Treasury Management activity on the terms set out in these documents;

- (27) approves a Pay Policy for 2017/18 as set out in Appendix 8 of the Revenue Budget report, subject to the amendment outlined in paragraph (16) above relating to salary reductions;
- (28) approves the proposed amount of compensation to Parish Councils for the loss of Council Tax income in 2017/18 at the levels shown in the table below paragraph 177 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (29) notes that the Section 151 Officer has reviewed the robustness of the estimates and the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves, in accordance with Part 2 of the Local Government Act 2003, and further details can be found in Appendix 4 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (30) notes the precepts issued by local parish councils which add £512,236 to the calculation of the budget requirement in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;
- (31) notes the information on the precepts issued by the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner and the South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority, together with the impact of these on the overall amount of Council Tax to be charged in the City Council's area;
- (32) notes that, based on the estimated expenditure level of £395.551m set out in paragraph (20) above, the amounts shown in Appendix 6b below would be calculated by the City Council for the year 2017/18, in accordance with Sections 30 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;

Appendix 6a

# CITY OF SHEFFIELD CALCULATION OF RECOMMENDED COUNCIL TAX FOR 2017/18 REVENUE BUDGET

The Council is recommended to resolve as follows:

- 1. It be noted that on 15th January 2017, the Council calculated the Council Tax Base 2017/18
  - (a) for the whole council area as:
    - **133,743.89** (item T in the formula in Section 31B of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, as amended (the "Act")); and
  - (b) for dwellings in those parts of its area to which a Parish precept relates as in the attached Appendix 6c.
- 2. Calculate that the Council Tax requirement for the Council's own purposes for 2017/18 (excluding Parish precepts) is:

- £ 191,034,345.
- 3. That the following amounts be calculated for the year 2017/18 in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Act:
- (a) £ 1,343,486,330 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils.
- (b) £ 1,151,939,749 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.
- (c) £ 191,546,581 being the amount by which the aggregate at 3(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 3(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act as its Council Tax requirement for the year (item R in the formula in Section 31B of the Act).
- (d) £ 1,432.1894 being the amount at 3(c) above (Item R), all divided by item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year (including Parish Precepts).
- (e) £ 512,236 being the aggregate amount of all special items (Parish precepts) referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (as per the attached Appendix 6b).
- (f) £ 1,428.3595 being the amount at 3(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 3(e) above by Item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no Parish precept relates.
- 4. To note that the Police and Crime Commissioner and the Fire and Rescue Authority have issued precepts to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for each category of dwellings in the Council's area as indicated in the table overleaf.
- 5. **£ 8,918,499** The amount set by the authority at 2 above, under section 30 of the Act, includes an amount attributable to the adult social care precept.
- 6. That the Council, in accordance with Sections 30 and 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the aggregate amounts shown in the tables below as the amounts of Council Tax for 2017/18 for each part of its area and for each of the categories of dwellings.

#### **Sheffield City Council (non-parish areas)**

	Va	luation Ba	nd					
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1.110.95	1,269.65	1.428.36	1.745.77	2.063.19	2.380.60	2.856.72
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
South Yorkshire Police and Crime	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Commissioner Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1 103 65	1 297 60	1,471.54	1 655 19	2 023 36	2 201 25	2 750 12	3 310 06
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,103.03	1,207.00	1,47 1.54	1,000.40	2,023.30	2,391.23	2,739.13	3,310.90
Bradfield Parish Council								
Bradneid Parish Council	Valuatio	n Rand						
	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
	,,		Ü		_	•	· ·	• • •
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72
Bradfield Parish Council	26.85	31.32	35.80	40.27	49.22	58.17	67.12	80.55
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,130.50	1,318.92	1,507.34	1,695.75	2,072.58	2,449.42	2,826.25	3,391.51
Ecclesfield Parish Council								
	Valuatio	n Band						
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1.110.95	1,269.65	1.428.36	1.745.77	2.063.19	2.380.60	2.856.72
Ecclesfield Parish Council	10.67	12.45	14.23	16.01	19.56	23.12	26.68	32.01
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
South Yorkshire Police and Crime	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Commissioner Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1.114.32	1.300.05	1,485.77	1.671.49	2.042.92	2.414.37	2.785.81	3.342.97
rigg. ogato er oeunen tarrioqui ememe		.,000.00	.,	.,00	_,0 :_:0_	_,		0,0 12.01
0								
Stocksbridge Town Council	\/o	luation Ba	nd					
	A Va	іцацоп ва В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
		Ь	O	Ь	_	'	O	
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72
Stocksbridge Town Council	20.65	24.09	27.53	30.97	37.86	44.74	51.62	61.94
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
South Yorkshire Police and Crime	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Commissioner Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1.124.30	1.311.69	1,499.07	1.686.45	2.061.22	2.435.99	2.810.75	3.372.90
	.,	.,0.1100	.,	.,555.10	_,	_,	_,	-, <b>-</b> 0

7. The Council's basic amount of Council Tax is not excessive in accordance with the principles approved under Section 52ZB of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, therefore no referendum is required.

# **Appendix 6b**

Council Tax Schedule 2017/18	Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D	Band E	Band F	Band G	Band H
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72

#### Budget Council 03/03/17

South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Total charge for non-parish areas of Sheffield	1,103.65	1,287.60	1,471.54	1,655.48	2,023.36	2,391.25	2,759.13	3,310.96
Bradfield Parish Council	1,130.50	1,318.92	1,507.34	1,695.75	2,072.58	2,449.42	2,826.25	3,391.51
Ecclesfield Parish Council	1,114.32	1,300.05	1,485.77	1,671.49	2,042.92	2,414.37	2,785.81	3,342.97
Stocksbridge Town Council	1,124.30	1,311.69	1,499.07	1,686.45	2,061.22	2,435.99	2,810.75	3,372.90

# Appendix 6c

					2016/17						2017/18
Parish Council	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Council Tax Increase
Bradfield	5,663.47	223,611	39.4831	10,005	233,616	5,713.66	230,105	40.2727	8,004	238,109	2.00%
Ecclesfield	9,088.35	141,242	15.5410	10,041	151,283	9,149.98	146,466	16.0072	8,033	154,499	3.00%
Stocksbridge	3,665.37	111,299	30.3651	7,224	118,524	3,675.84	113,849	30.9724	5,779	119,629	2.00%
Total/average	18,417.19	476,153	25.8537	27,270	503,423	18,539.48	490,420	26.4527	21,816	12,236	2.32%

#### 7.3.1 Motion to move to next business

RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Peter Rippon, seconded by Councillor Olivia Blake, that (in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 17.13) the Council does now move to the next business and that the question be now put.

- 7.3.2 On being put to the vote, the amendment was negatived.
- 7.3.3 The votes on the amendment were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

For the amendment (20)

 Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Adam Hanrahan, Joe Otten, Colin Ross, Martin Smith, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, David Baker, Penny Baker and Vickie Priestley.

Against the amendment (61)

Councillors Helen Mirfin-Boukouris, Chris Rosling-Josephs, lan Saunders, Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Kieran Harpham, Magid Magid, **Jackie** Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Craig Gamble Pugh, Mazher Iqbal, Mary Lea, Zahira Naz, Pauline Andrews, Andy Bainbridge, Steve Wilson, Abdul Khayum, Alan Law, Abtisam Mohamed, Lewis Dagnall, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Bob Johnson, George Lindars-Hammond, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Terry Fox, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Tony Mohammad Downing, Nasima Akther, Maroof, Alison Teal, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Leigh Bramall, Tony Damms, Jack Clarkson, Javne Dunn, Crowther, Keith Davis, Olivia Blake, Ben Curran, Neale Gibson, John Booker, Adam Hurst, Zoe Sykes, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Abstained on the amendment (1)

- The Lord Mayor (Councillor Denise Fox).
- 7.4 It was then moved by Councillor Douglas Johnson, seconded by Councillor Robert Murphy, as an amendment, that the recommendations of the Cabinet held on 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2017, as relates to the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2017/18, be replaced by the following resolution:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (1) continues to condemn the cuts to local authority funding chosen by central government and applauds the efforts of politicians and campaigners calling for an alternative to the policy of enforced austerity;
- (2) notes that the Council and consequently council services and Sheffield's citizens has now endured a funding shortfall of £350 million since 2010;
- (3) thanks the officers of the Council, and in other organisations directly

- affected by the austerity programme, in the way they have responded to the increasing cuts and made sacrifices;
- (4) notes, however, that some long-term, outsourced contracts with big private businesses have not taken an equivalent share of the cuts, with the Council reconsidering the 35-year waste management contract, and with the Streets Ahead contract requiring an additional £4.5 million this year alone;
- (5) further notes the intention of Government to reduce the main source of local authority funding, the Revenue Support Grant, to nil and to switch funding to business rates collected in local authorities' own areas, a move which will favour more affluent areas of the country;
- (6) believes that central Government has utterly failed to address the growing and substantial crisis in the care of older and disabled people and that, although the Government has chosen council tax rises to pay for social care, the sum raised is still inadequate to meet even the cost of living;
- (7) therefore recognises that austerity is not going to go away and that Elected Members in Sheffield, however difficult the crisis we face, have a responsibility to do the best we can for the people of Sheffield, prioritising the available resources to protect communities and the most vulnerable and working towards a more equitable and resilient city;
- (8) therefore, welcomes the Administration's proposal to raise the Council Tax hardship fund to £1 million to protect more of the 30,000 poorest families in the city, which is entirely in line with the Green Councillors' budget proposal in March 2016;
- (9) recognises the hard work of Sheffield citizens who have highlighted the economic risks attached to fossil fuels and the need for Sheffield City Council to do business ethically; and welcomes the inclusion in its Treasury Management Strategy, for the first time, commitments not to hold any direct investments in fossil fuels or companies involved in tax evasion or grave misconduct.
- (10) believes that the people of this city want a Council that listens to them, is accountable, and takes their genuinely-expressed concerns into account;
- (11) therefore, will open up Council meetings to public scrutiny by online web broadcasting;
- (12) will cut political spin from the Town Hall and will cut the posts of Group Policy Officers, requiring politicians to do their own research and press work;
- (13) will set an example by addressing income inequality between the

- highest and lowest paid Council officers, closing the gap by reducing the pay of those on salaries over £50,000 a year;
- (14) will further reduce up to 2 posts in the HR Service to protect frontline services;
- (15) will reverse planned cuts to 3.5 full-time equivalent library staff;
- (16) will respond to the families, staff and carers at Hurlfield View respite centre for people with dementia by investing £400k to reinstate provision at Hurlfield View, recognising that this cannot be achieved in the short term, and in parallel will seek to cancel newly-let community-based contracts;
- (17) regrets the lost opportunity of a significant investment in jobs in the renewable energy industry when proposed in 2014; but will promote energy efficiency schemes in maintained schools by use of £500,000 unallocated New Homes Bonus funding;
- (18) will create further jobs by setting aside a further £500,000 unallocated New Homes Bonus funding to identify and survey brownfield sites for reuse for new housing and business, so as to minimise the impact of new building on the green belt or those brownfield sites that provide particular benefits to wildlife or the local community;
- (19) will take steps to increase the amount of council housing by funding a pilot of a small number of energy-efficient "container homes", such as those already being pioneered in the city;
- (20) will put further resources into turning empty properties into much needed homes by investing a small amount of New Homes Bonus funding in further enforcement work in this area, which will in turn generate increased NHB funding as homes are brought back into occupation;
- (21) will also support an additional post to support standards in the private rented housing sector;
- (22) will develop proposals to introduce a workplace parking scheme, to improve air quality and generate further revenue for the city's public transport investment;
- (23) will invest a substantial sum of £300,000 of unallocated Local Transport Plan funding into improving the numbers of Sheffield citizens getting to work by cycling or walking;
- (24) will reduce the price of parking permits to 2010 levels, by shifting the cost of parking in residential parking permit zones to non-residents, meaning that people living in some of the most congested and polluted areas of the city are not subsidising other transport services;

- (25) welcomes the new investment in replacing obsolete air quality monitoring stations and will further invest in public-facing visual displays on these, to ensure the public can see and monitor the measure of air pollution affecting them in real time;
- (26) will invest in a small discretionary grant fund to encourage zero or lowemission taxi vehicles through the licensing system;
- (27) wishes to prioritise the installation of 20mph zones in areas with the worst road safety accident statistics and, therefore, will re-prioritise funding available in the Local Transport Plan programme to a default 20mph speed limit in the city centre;
- (28) will develop proposals to offer more policing and services related to the night-time economy by ensuring high-value businesses make an appropriate contribution to social costs through use of a night-time levy scheme;
- (29) will support the safety of students and others in a vibrant city centre, by providing additional funding to extend a night bus service;
- (30) will work to prevent the causes of serious anti-social behaviour by reversing the proposal to cut residential drug and alcohol rehabilitation spaces;
- (31) will promote equality and the work of voluntary sector groups by reversing the £60,000 cut to the small-scale Equality & Fairness grant pot;
- (32) therefore requests the Acting Executive Director, Resources to implement the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2017/2018 in accordance with the details set out in the reports on the Revenue Budget and Capital Programme now submitted, but with the following amendments:-

# REVENUE BUDGET PROPOSAL

Spending reductions	2017/18 (£'000)	Spending proposals	2017/18 (£'000)
Remove all group policy officer posts	89	Reverse cut in libraries staff	98
Removal of further 2 posts in HR	46	Maintain funding for Equality & Fairness grants	60
Use of New Homes Bonus (to fund enforcement officer to bring empty homes back into use)	37	Additional enforcement officer post to bring empty homes back into use	37

Use of New Homes Bonus (to fund additional post in Private Sector Housing)	26	Additional post in Private Sector Housing	26
		Reverse cut to residential drug/alcohol rehabilitation	50
		Webcasting of all Full Council, Budget & Scrutiny meetings	30
		Development of Workplace Parking Levy scheme	100
		Feasibility study into late night levy scheme	25
		Establish discretionary fund for grants to encourage zero or low-emission taxi vehicles	5
Introduce 20p increase to on-street parking fees in residential peripheral parking zones (PPZs)	369	Parking permit fees reduced to 2010 levels	297
		Revenue contribution to capital scheme (air quality monitoring stations)	19
Savings - subtotal	567	Spending - subtotal	747
actions to be agreed with other	r bodies. (	the agreement of new contract Consequently the following investmessful implementation of these say	nents
Reduce pay on employees paid over £150,000 by 20% (assume 6 month saving)	25	Reinstate funding for Hurlfield View respite centre for dementia	400
Reduce pay on employees paid over £100,000 by 15% (assume 6 month saving)	63	Night bus	16
Reduce pay on employees paid over £50,000 by 10%	508		

(assume 6 month saving)

Savings - subtotal	596	Spending - subtotal	416
Revenue saving sub-total	1,163	Revenue spending sub total	- 1,163
CAPITAL BUDGET PROP	<u>OSAL</u>		
Capital spending proposal	(£'000)	Financing of capital proposals	(£'000)
20's Plenty City Centre scheme	262	Re-prioritise Local Transport Plan Programme set aside for 20mph speed limit schemes	262
Provision of public displays on air quality monitoring stations	50	Use of New Homes Bonus and revenue contribution to capital to fund provision of public displays on air quality monitoring stations	50
Additional walking and cycling infrastructure	300	Use of unallocated Local Transport Plan (LTP) funding	300
Establishment of fund to prepare brownfield sites for redevelopment	500	Use of New Homes Bonus to establish fund to prepare brownfield sites for redevelopment	500
Energy efficiency for schools fund	500	Use of New Homes Bonus to establish fund for energy efficiency schemes in schools	500
Fund to establish "container homes" pilot	250	Reprioritise funding for acquiring new council homes	250
Capital spending total	1,862	Financing of capital proposals total	1,862

<sup>(33)</sup> notes those specific projects included in the years 2017/18 to 2022/23 Capital Programmes at Appendix 9 of the report on the Capital

Programme, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (32) above, and that block allocations are included within the Programme for noting at this stage and detailed proposals will be brought back for separate Member approval as part of the monthly monitoring procedures;

- (34) notes the proposed Capital Programme for the 6 years to 2022/23 as per Appendix 9 of the report on the Capital Programme, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (32) above;
- (35) approves the Corporate Resource Pool (CRP) policy outlined in Appendix 4 of the report on the Capital Programme such that the commitment from the CRP is limited to one year and no CRP supported schemes are approved beyond 2017/18 unless explicitly stated, and that further reports will be brought to Members as part of the monthly approval process should the receipts position improve;
- (36) after noting the joint report of the Chief Executive and the Acting Executive Director, Resources now submitted on the Revenue Budget 2017/18, approves and adopts a net Revenue Budget for 2017/18 amounting to £395.551m, as set out in Appendix 3 of that report, and subsequently amended in the light of paragraph (32) above, as follows:-

		Appendix 3
Original Budget 2016/17	Summary Revenue Budget	Original Budget 2017/18
£000		£000
	Portfolio budgets:	
66,423	Children Young People and Families	66,072
136,587	Communities	140,578
129,101	Place	128,711
1,900	Policy Performance and Communications	1,883
52,224	Resources	52,940
386,235		390,184
	Corporate Budgets:	
	Specific Grants	
-74,601	PFI Grant	-74,437
-9,323	New Homes Bonus (LGF)	-7,029
-1,490	Business Rates Transitional Grant	-1,467
-2,880	Small Business Rates Relief	-3,976
0	Improved Better Care Fund	-2,188
0	CCG Better Care Fund Income	-5,000
0	Adult Social Care Grant (2017/18 only)	-2,717

-406,057	Total Financing	-395,551
-90,592 -106,131 -29,124 -176,467 -283 -3,460	Revenue Support Grant NNDR/Business Rates Income Business Rates Top Up Grant Council Tax income Collection Fund surplus Social Care Precept	-67,790 -96,746 -39,583 -182,116 -398 -8,918
	Financing of Net Expenditure	
406,057	Total Expenditure	395,551
-882 -53,400	Reserves Movements Contribution from Reserves Reserves Movements Relating to Pension Early Payment	-7,667 20,417
23,681 8,314 28,199	Capital Financing Costs General Capital Financing Costs Highways PFI Capital Financing Costs MSF Capital Financing Costs	22,944 11,630 18,844
25,094 600 27 300 -9,300 80,100 0 1,067	Infrastructure Investment Payment to Parish Councils ICT Refresh Better Care Fund Pension Deficit Payment Revenue Contribution to Capital Other	25,285 900 22 300 0 19 1,523
8,200 -18,846 8,405 -698 2,700 4,555 0	Corporate Items Redundancy Provision Pension Costs New Homes Bonus (LGF) Public Health Savings / re-investments Independent Living Fund Pressure Better Care Fund Social Care Risk Strengthening Families - Think Forward Investment Schools and Howden PFI	6,200 -13,567 7,029 -698 0 3,000 2,000 4,000

- (37) approves a Band D equivalent Council Tax of £1,428.36 for City Council services, i.e. an increase of 4.99% (1.99% City Council increase and 3% national arrangement for the social care precept);
- (38) approves the Revenue Budget allocations and Budget Implementation Plans for each of the services, as set out in Appendix 2 of the Revenue Budget report, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (32) above;

- (39) notes the latest 2016/17 budget monitoring position;
- (40) approves the Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategies set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report and the recommendations contained therein;
- (41) approves the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Statement set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (42) agrees that authority be delegated to the Acting Executive Director of Resources to undertake Treasury Management activity, to create and amend appropriate Treasury Management Practice Statements and to report on the operation of Treasury Management activity on the terms set out in these documents;
- (43) approves a Pay Policy for 2017/18 as set out in Appendix 8 of the Revenue Budget report, subject to the amendment outlined in paragraph (32) above relating to the salary reductions;
- (44) approves the proposed amount of compensation to Parish Councils for the loss of Council Tax income in 2017/18 at the levels shown in the table below paragraph 177 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (45) notes that the Section 151 Officer has reviewed the robustness of the estimates and the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves, in accordance with Part 2 of the Local Government Act 2003, and further details can be found in Appendix 4 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (46) notes the precepts issued by local parish councils which add £512,236 to the calculation of the budget requirement in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;
- (47) notes the information on the precepts issued by the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner and the South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority, together with the impact of these on the overall amount of Council Tax to be charged in the City Council's area;
- (48) notes that, based on the estimated expenditure level of £395.551m set out in paragraph (36) above, the amounts shown in Appendix 6b below would be calculated by the City Council for the year 2017/18, in accordance with Sections 30 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;

Appendix 6a

# CITY OF SHEFFIELD CALCULATION OF RECOMMENDED COUNCIL TAX FOR 2017/18 REVENUE BUDGET

The Council is recommended to resolve as follows:

- 1. It be noted that on 15th January 2017, the Council calculated the Council Tax Base 2017/18
  - (a) for the whole council area as:
    - **133,743.89** (item T in the formula in Section 31B of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, as amended (the "Act")); and
  - (b) for dwellings in those parts of its area to which a Parish precept relates as in the attached Appendix 6c.
- 2. Calculate that the Council Tax requirement for the Council's own purposes for 2017/18 (excluding Parish precepts) is:
  - £ 191,034,345.
- 3. That the following amounts be calculated for the year 2017/18 in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Act:
- (a) £ 1,343,549,330 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils.
- (b) £ 1,152,002,749 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.
- (c) £ 191,546,581 being the amount by which the aggregate at 3(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 3(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act as its Council Tax requirement for the year (item R in the formula in Section 31B of the Act).
- (d) £ 1,432.1894 being the amount at 3(c) above (Item R), all divided by item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year (including Parish Precepts).
- (e) £ 512,236 being the aggregate amount of all special items (Parish precepts) referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (as per the attached Appendix 6b).
- (f) £ 1,428.3595 being the amount at 3(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 3(e) above by Item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no Parish precept relates.
- 4. To note that the Police and Crime Commissioner and the Fire and Rescue Authority have issued precepts to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for each category of dwellings in the Council's area as indicated in the table overleaf.

- 5. **£ 8,918,499** The amount set by the authority at 2 above, under section 30 of the Act, includes an amount attributable to the adult social care precept.
- 6. That the Council, in accordance with Sections 30 and 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the aggregate amounts shown in the tables below as the amounts of Council Tax for 2017/18 for each part of its area and for each of the categories of dwellings.

Sheffield City Council (non-parish areas)								
	-	luation Ban	d					
	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
Sheffield City Council South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue	952.24 45.97	1,110.95 53.64	1,269.65 61.30	1,428.36 68.96	1,745.77 84.28	2,063.19 99.61	2,380.60 114.93	2,856.72 137.92
Authority South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,103.65	1,287.60	1,471.54	1,655.48	2,023.36	2,391.25	2,759.13	3,310.96
Bradfield Parish Council								
	Valuation	n Band						
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72
Bradfield Parish Council	26.85	31.32	35.80	40.27	49.22	58.17	67.12	80.55
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,130.50	1,318.92	1,507.34	1,695.75	2,072.58	2,449.42	2,826.25	3,391.51
Ecclesfield Parish Council	Valuatio	n Band						
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72
Ecclesfield Parish Council	10.67	12.45	14.23	16.01	19.56	23.12	26.68	32.01
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,114.32	1,300.05	1,485.77	1,671.49	2,042.92	2,414.37	2,785.81	3,342.97
0								
Stocksbridge Town Council	\/a	luation Ban	d					
	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72
Stocksbridge Town Council	20.65	24.09	27.53	30.97	37.86	44.74	51.62	61.94
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,124.30	1,311.69	1,499.07	1,686.45	2,061.22	2,435.99	2,810.75	3,372.90

7. The Council's basic amount of Council Tax is not excessive in accordance with the principles approved under Section 52ZB of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, therefore no referendum is required.

# Appendix 6b

Council Tax Schedule 2017/18	Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D	Band E	Band F	Band G	Band H
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Total charge for non- parish areas of Sheffield	1,103.65	1,287.60	1,471.54	1,655.48	2,023.36	2,391.25	2,759.13	3,310.96
Bradfield Parish Council	1,130.50	1,318.92	1,507.34	1,695.75	2,072.58	2,449.42	2,826.25	3,391.51
Ecclesfield Parish Council	1,114.32	1,300.05	1,485.77	1,671.49	2,042.92	2,414.37	2,785.81	3,342.97
Stocksbridge Town Council	1,124.30	1,311.69	1,499.07	1,686.45	2,061.22	2,435.99	2,810.75	3,372.90

# Appendix 6c

					2016/17						2017/18
Parish Council	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Council Tax Increase
Bradfield	5,663.47	223,611	39.4831	10,005	233,616	5,713.66	230,105	40.2727	8,004	238,109	2.00%
Ecclesfield	9,088.35	141,242	15.5410	10,041	151,283	9,149.98	146,466	16.0072	8,033	154,499	3.00%
Stocksbridge	3,665.37	111,299	30.3651	7,224	118,524	3,675.84	113,849	30.9724	5,779	119,629	2.00%
Total/average	18,417.19	476,153	25.8537	27,270	503,423	18,539.48	490,420	26.4527	21,816	12,236	2.32%

# 7.4.1 *Motion to move to next business*

RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Peter Rippon, seconded by Councillor Olivia Blake, that (in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 17.13) the Council does now move to the next business and that the question be now put.

- 7.4.2 On being put to the vote, the amendment was negatived.
- 7.4.3 The votes on the amendment were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

For the amendment (4)

 Councillors Magid Magid, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy and Alison Teal.

Against the amendment (76)

Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Helen Mirfin-Boukouris, Chris Rosling-Josephs, Ian Saunders, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Kieran Harpham, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Craig Gamble Pugh, Adam Hanrahan, Mazher Igbal, Mary Lea, Zahira Naz, Joe Otten, Colin Ross, Martin Smith, Pauline Andrews, Andy Bainbridge, Steve Wilson, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Alan Law, Abtisam Mohamed, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Lewis Dagnall, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, lan Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Bob Johnson, George Lindars-Hammond, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Terry Fox, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Gail Smith, Tony Akther, Downing, Nasima Mohammad Maroof, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Leigh Bramall, Tony Damms, Jayne Dunn, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley, Jack Clarkson, Richard Crowther, Keith Davis, Olivia Blake, Ben Curran, Neale Gibson, John Booker, Adam Hurst, Zoe Sykes, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Abstained on the amendment (1)

- The Lord Mayor (Councillor Denise Fox).
- 7.5 It was then moved by Councillor Jack Clarkson, seconded by Councillor John Booker, as an amendment, that the recommendations of the Cabinet held on

15<sup>th</sup> February, 2017, as relates to the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2017/18, be replaced by the following resolution:-

### RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (1) regrets the high level of council taxes imposed by this Council on local people as a result of cuts that the Government is imposing on local authorities in respect of social care;
- (2) believes the working poor and their families are under attack like never before and are losing the battle; these issues and related problems are a direct result of austerity policies perpetrated by the current and previous governments, and the poorest in society are now bearing the majority of the cuts and the Government is shifting its debt onto them, creating more hardship and reducing state services to the neediest in our society;
- (3) regrets the total Quantitative Easing package so far in the UK is £435billion, creating long term inflation, bringing more hardship to the citizens of this country, and notes that this printed money is directed to the financial markets, perpetuating the theme of the poor get poorer and the rich get richer;
- (4) believes this money should be spent in the real economy to benefit the whole of society, social care, the NHS, rough sleepers and the homeless, helping to fight drug addiction and alcohol-related problems, prisons, schools and a long-term plan to re-nationalise the railways, utilities and services;
- (5) welcomes the fact that by the end of this Parliament, councils will be able to retain all monies raised through business rates, and urges that this process be speeded up to offset the cuts in Revenue Support Grant;
- (6) believes after Brexit, Britain's contribution of £13billion annually to the European Union would be better spent protecting front line services from the Government's spending cuts and that only by leaving the EU and restoring self-governance can we ensure that our public services will be adequately funded in future years;
- (7) believes that the £52billion (and rising) that the Conservative Government, supported by the Labour Party and the Liberal Democrats, intends to spend on the HS2 "vanity" project would be better spent on investment in adequate existing transport infrastructure and high speed broadband;
- (8) is again concerned by what it believes to be the exorbitant prices charged for some work carried out under the strategic preferred partnership contracts and believes that the tax payer of Sheffield would be better served by bringing a number of these services back in-house;

- (9) believes that Sheffield City Councillors and Council executives must not be immune from savings, and proposes, especially in light of the Administration's rising Council Tax hike, that they should set an example by making the following changes to pay and allowances:-
  - (i) all Members to forego the 1% uplift to Member's Allowances recommended by the Independent Remuneration Panel;
  - (ii) reduce Members' Basic Allowance by a 5% cut;
  - (iii) a 10% cut in Special Responsibility Allowances (SRA) paid to eligible Members and the abolition of the Cabinet Advisor SRA; and
  - (iv) a 10% cut in the salary of any Council employee paid over £100,000 p.a.;
- (10) proposes to use part of the £692,000 New Homes Bonus (NHB) to fund a new commercial waste disposal scheme at household waste recycling centres; where tradespersons will be charged a £20 fee to empty a transit size van/trailer; and also proposes to increase opening hours at all household recycling sites to accommodate the new scheme; this will assist local tradespeople to easily dispose of non-hazardous trade waste and will also reduce instances of fly tipping around the city, which draws heavily on the funds of other Council departments;
- (11) proposes to introduce environmental enhancements by:-
  - (i) moving the pest control service to a fully self-financing model, whilst retaining discounts for people on qualifying benefits; and
  - (ii) discouraging fly tipping and poor refuse management practices, by:-
    - (A) employing an additional one enforcement and educational officer post, with a communications budget, to target areas prone to fly tipping; and
    - (B) introducing a mobile CCTV van to patrol areas prone to fly tipping and two staff to ensure enforcement, and in relation to CCTV, providing 'RIPA' signage to ensure legal regulatory compliance by the Council in respect of surveillance carried out;
- (12) proposes to use part of the £692,000 NHB to fund and support community and voluntary sector bodies wishing to run pop-up gyms and dementia groups in community centres and local venues;
- (13) proposes to make further savings by cutting 10% from the translation and interpretation budget as soon as possible;

- (14) proposes to further fund homeless prevention by providing 20 extra units a week accommodation for rough sleepers;
- (15) therefore requests the Acting Executive Director, Resources to implement the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2017/2018 in accordance with the details set out in the reports on the Revenue Budget and Capital Programme now submitted, but with the following amendments:-

# **REVENUE BUDGET PROPOSAL**

Savings proposals	2017/18 (£'000)	Spending proposals	2017/18 (£'000)
Permanent reductions in spending:		Permanent addition to budget:	
Forego 1% uplift to Members' Allowance	14	1 additional enforcement & education officer post with communications budget to target areas prone to fly-tipping	72
Reduce Members' Basic Allowances by 5%	49	Establish fund to support community and voluntary sector bodies wishing to run pop-up gyms and dementia groups	30
Reduce Members' Special Responsibility Allowances (SRAs) by 10%, and scrap SRAs for Cabinet Advisors	63	Introduce mobile CCTV van to patrol areas prone to fly- tipping	88
Pest Control service to become fully self-financing	111	Increase capacity of accommodation for rough sleepers via existing contract (20 extra units per week)	49
Introduce charging policy for non-statutory translation & interpreting services to generate 10% saving	25		
Savings - subtotal	262	Spending proposals - subtotal	239

Page 97

waste scheme

Capital spending total

The following savings schemes require the agreement of new contracts, or actions to be agreed with other bodies. Consequently the following investments are proposed conditionally on the successful implementation of these savings schemes.

Income from charging £20 for commercial waste disposal at household waste recycling centres	239	Increase opening hours to 7 days a week at all household waste recycling centres to accommodate new commercial waste disposal scheme, and increase in costs relating to new waste stream – to be reviewed on an annual basis	979
Use of time limited funding (New Homes Bonus) to subsidise the proposed new service regarding commercial waste disposal	662		
Reduce pay on employees paid over £100,000 by 10% (assume 6 month saving)	55		
Savings - subtotal	956	Spending proposals - subtotal	979
Revenue saving total	1,218	Revenue spending sub-total	1,218
CAPITAL BUDGET PROPOS	<u>SAL</u>		
Capital spending proposal	(£'000)	Financing of capital proposals	(£'000)
Upgrade all household waste recycling centres to prepare for commercial	30	Use of New Homes Bonus	30

30

Financing of

proposals total

30

capital

- (16) notes those specific projects included in the years 2017/18 to 2022/23 Capital Programmes at Appendix 9 of the report on the Capital Programme, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (15) above, and that block allocations are included within the Programme for noting at this stage and detailed proposals will be brought back for separate Member approval as part of the monthly monitoring procedures;
- (17) notes the proposed Capital Programme for the 6 years to 2022/23 as per Appendix 9 of the report on the Capital Programme, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (15) above;
- (18) approves the Corporate Resource Pool (CRP) policy outlined in Appendix 4 of the report on the Capital Programme such that the commitment from the CRP is limited to one year and no CRP supported schemes are approved beyond 2017/18 unless explicitly stated, and that further reports will be brought to Members as part of the monthly approval process should the receipts position improve;
- (19) after noting the joint report of the Chief Executive and the Acting Executive Director, Resources now submitted on the Revenue Budget 2017/18, approves and adopts a net Revenue Budget for 2017/18 amounting to £395.551m, as set out in Appendix 3 of that report, and subsequently amended in the light of paragraph (15) above, as follows:-

		Appendix 3
Original Budget 2016/17	Summary Revenue Budget	Original Budget 2017/18
£000		£000
	Portfolio budgets:	
66,423	Children Young People and Families	66,231
136,587	Communities	140,130
129,101	Place	129,521
1,900	Policy Performance and Communications	1,879
52,224	Resources	53,041
386,235		390,802
	Corporate Budgets:	
	Specific Grants	
-74,601	PFI Grant	-74,437
-9,323	New Homes Bonus (LGF)	-7,029
-1,490	<b>Business Rates Transitional Grant</b>	-1,467

-2,880 0 0 0	Small Business Rates Relief Improved Better Care Fund CCG Better Care Fund Income Adult Social Care Grant (2017/18 only)	-3,976 -2,188 -5,000 -2,717
	Corporate Items	
8,200	Redundancy Provision	6,200
-18,846	Pension Costs	-13,567
8,405	New Homes Bonus (LGF)	7,029
-698	Public Health Savings / re-investments	-698
2,700	Independent Living Fund Pressure	0
4,555	Better Care Fund	3,000
0	Social Care Risk	2,000
0	Strengthening Families - Think Forward Investment	4,000
25,094	Schools and Howden PFI	25,285
600	Infrastructure Investment	900
27	Payment to Parish Councils	22
300	ICT Refresh	300
-9,300	Better Care Fund	0
80,100	Pension Deficit Payment	0
1,067	Other	1,523
23,681 8,314 28,199	Capital Financing Costs General Capital Financing Costs Highways PFI Capital Financing Costs MSF Capital Financing Costs	22,944 11,630 18,844
	Reserves Movements	
-882	Contribution from Reserves	-8,266
	Reserves Movements Relating to Pension	-,
-53,400	Early Payment	20,417
406,057	Total Expenditure	395,551
	Financing of Net Expenditure	
-90,592	Revenue Support Grant	-67,790
-106,131	NNDR/Business Rates Income	-96,746
-29,124	Business Rates Top Up Grant	-39,583
-176,467	Council Tax income	-182,116
-283	Collection Fund surplus	-398
-3,460	Social Care Precept	-8,918
-406,057	Total Financing	-395,551

- (20) approves a Band D equivalent Council Tax of £1,428.36 for City Council services, i.e. an increase of 4.99% (1.99% City Council increase and 3% national arrangement for the social care precept);
- (21) approves the Revenue Budget allocations and Budget Implementation Plans for each of the services, as set out in Appendix 2 of the Revenue Budget report, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (15) above;
- (22) notes the latest 2016/17 budget monitoring position;
- (23) approves the Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategies set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report and the recommendations contained therein:
- (24) approves the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Statement set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (25) agrees that authority be delegated to the Acting Executive Director of Resources to undertake Treasury Management activity, to create and amend appropriate Treasury Management Practice Statements and to report on the operation of Treasury Management activity on the terms set out in these documents;
- (26) approves a Pay Policy for 2017/18 as set out in Appendix 8 of the Revenue Budget report, subject to the amendment outlined in paragraph (15) above relating to salary reductions;
- (27) approves the proposed amount of compensation to Parish Councils for the loss of Council Tax income in 2017/18 at the levels shown in the table below paragraph 177 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (28) notes that the Section 151 Officer has reviewed the robustness of the estimates and the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves, in accordance with Part 2 of the Local Government Act 2003, and further details can be found in Appendix 4 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (29) notes the precepts issued by local parish councils which add £512,236 to the calculation of the budget requirement in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;
- (30) notes the information on the precepts issued by the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner and the South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority, together with the impact of these on the overall amount of Council Tax to be charged in the City Council's area;
- (31) notes that, based on the estimated expenditure level of £395.551m set out in paragraph (19) above, the amounts shown in Appendix 6b below would be calculated by the City Council for the year 2017/18, in

accordance with Sections 30 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;

Appendix 6a

# CITY OF SHEFFIELD CALCULATION OF RECOMMENDED COUNCIL TAX FOR 2017/18 REVENUE BUDGET

The Council is recommended to resolve as follows:

- 1. It be noted that on 15th January 2017, the Council calculated the Council Tax Base 2017/18
  - (a) for the whole council area as:
    - **133,743.89** (item T in the formula in Section 31B of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, as amended (the "Act")); and
  - (b) for dwellings in those parts of its area to which a Parish precept relates as in the attached Appendix 6c.
- 2. Calculate that the Council Tax requirement for the Council's own purposes for 2017/18 (excluding Parish precepts) is:
  - £ 191,034,345.
- 3. That the following amounts be calculated for the year 2017/18 in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Act:
- (a) £ 1,344,148,330 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils.
- (b) £ 1,152,601,749 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.
- (c) £ 191,546,581 being the amount by which the aggregate at 3(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 3(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act as its Council Tax requirement for the year (item R in the formula in Section 31B of the Act).
- (d) £ 1,432.1894 being the amount at 3(c) above (Item R), all divided by item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year (including Parish Precepts).
- (e) £ 512,236 being the aggregate amount of all special items (Parish precepts) referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (as per the attached Appendix 6b).

- (f) £ 1,428.3595 being the amount at 3(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 3(e) above by Item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no Parish precept relates.
- 4. To note that the Police and Crime Commissioner and the Fire and Rescue Authority have issued precepts to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for each category of dwellings in the Council's area as indicated in the table overleaf.
- 5. **£ 8,918,499** The amount set by the authority at 2 above, under section 30 of the Act, includes an amount attributable to the adult social care precept.
- 6. That the Council, in accordance with Sections 30 and 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the aggregate amounts shown in the tables below as the amounts of Council Tax for 2017/18 for each part of its area and for each of the categories of dwellings.

<b>Sheffield City Council</b>	(non-parish areas)
-------------------------------	--------------------

chemical city country (non-panie								
	Va	luation Ba	ind					
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
Sheffield City Council					1,745.77		•	2,856.72
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
Authority				.=				
South Yorkshire Police and Crime	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Commissioner	4 400 05	4 007 00	1 171 51	1 CEE 10	0.000.00	0.004.05	0.750.40	2 240 00
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,103.65	1,287.60	1,471.54	1,655.48	2,023.36	2,391.25	2,759.13	3,310.96
Bradfield Parish Council								
	Valuatio							
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
Chaffiald City Caynail	050.04	4 440 05	1 000 05	4 400 00	4 745 77	0.000.40	0 000 00	0.050.70
Sheffield City Council					1,745.77			2,856.72
Bradfield Parish Council	26.85	31.32			49.22	58.17	67.12	
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
Authority South Yorkshire Police and Crime	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Commissioner	100.44	123.01	140.55	130.10	133.31	220.40	203.00	310.32
Aggregate of Council tax	1.130.50	1.318.92	1.507.34	1.695.75	2.072.58	2,449.42	2.826.25	3.391.51
requirements		.,		.,			_,	
Ecclesfield Parish Council								
	Valuatio	n Band						
	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72
Ecclesfield Parish Council	10.67	12.45	14.23	16.01	19.56	23.12	26.68	32.01
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
Authority								
South Yorkshire Police and Crime	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Commissioner	1 11/1 22	1 200 05	1 / 05 77	1 671 40	2 042 02	2,414.37	2 795 04	3,342.97
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,114.32	1,300.03	1,403.77	1,011.49	2,042.32	۷,414.31	2,100.01	5,542.37
roquironionio								

# **Stocksbridge Town Council**

Valuation Band											
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н			
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72			
Stocksbridge Town Council	20.65	24.09	27.53	30.97	37.86	44.74	51.62	61.94			
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92			
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32			
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,124.30	1,311.69	1,499.07	1,686.45	2,061.22	2,435.99	2,810.75	3,372.90			

7. The Council's basic amount of Council Tax is not excessive in accordance with the principles approved under Section 52ZB of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, therefore no referendum is required.

# **Appendix 6b**

Council Tax Schedule 2017/18	Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D	Band E	Band F	Band G	Band H
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72
0 " 1 1 5 0 0	45.07	50.04	04.00	22.00	04.00	00.01	111.00	407.00
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
	105.11	100.01	110.50	170.10	100.01	222.15		0.10.00
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Total charge for non-parish areas of Sheffield	1,103.65	1,287.60	1,471.54	1,655.48	2,023.36	2,391.25	2,759.13	3,310.96
Bradfield Parish Council	1,130.50	1,318.92	1,507.34	1,695.75	2,072.58	2,449.42	2,826.25	3,391.51
Ecclesfield Parish Council	1,114.32	1,300.05	1,485.77	1,671.49	2,042.92	2,414.37	2,785.81	3,342.97
	1 101 00	1 0 1 1 00	4 400 07	1 000 15	0.004.00	0.405.00	0.040.75	0.070.00
Stocksbridge Town Council	1,124.30	1,311.69	1,499.07	1,686.45	2,061.22	2,435.99	2,810.75	3,372.90

# **Appendix 6c**

# Parish Council Precepts

		2016/17				2017/18					
Parish Council	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Council Tax Increase
Bradfield	5,663.47	223,611	39.4831	10,005	233,616	5,713.66	230,105	40.2727	8,004	238,109	2.00%
Ecclesfield	9,088.35	141,242	15.5410	10,041	151,283	9,149.98	146,466	16.0072	8,033	154,499	3.00%

Stocksbridge	3,665.37	111,299	30.3651	7,224	118,524	3,675.84	113,849	30.9724	5,779	119,629	2.00%
Total/average	18,417.19	476,153	25.8537	27,270	503,423	18,539.48	490,420	26.4527	21,816	512,236	2.32%

- 7.5.1 On being put to the vote, the amendment was negatived.
- 7.5.2 The votes on the amendment were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

For the amendment (4)

 Councillors Pauline Andrews, Jack Clarkson, Keith Davis and John Booker.

Against the amendment (77)

Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Helen Mirfin-Boukouris, Chris Rosling-Josephs, Ian Saunders, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Kieran Harpham, Magid Magid, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Douglas Johnson, Robert Gamble Murphy, Craig Pugh, Adam Hanrahan, Mazher Igbal, Mary Lea, Zahira Naz, Joe Otten, Colin Ross, Martin Smith, Andy Bainbridge, Steve Wilson, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Khayum, Law. Abdul Alan Abtisam Mohamed, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Lewis Dagnall, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Bob Johnson, George Lindars-Hammond, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Terry Fox, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Gail Smith, Tony Downing, Nasima Akther, Mohammad Maroof, Alison Teal, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Leigh Bramall, Tony Damms, Jayne Dunn, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley, Richard Crowther, Olivia Blake, Ben Curran, Neale Gibson, Adam Hurst, Zoe Sykes, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Abstained on the amendment (1)

- The Lord Mayor (Councillor Denise Fox).
- 7.6 The original Motion, as amended, was then put as a Substantive Motion in the following form and carried:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (1) places on record its thanks to the staff who continue to serve the Council in these incredibly difficult times, which year on year leads to uncertainty about their own futures and that of their colleagues, many of whom are left to pick up an increased workload as a result of cuts to staffing numbers;
- (2) regrets that since 2010, central government funding to Sheffield City Council has been decimated, and notes that government funding is reducing, meaning the Council has to find £40m worth of savings for the financial year;
- (3) notes the Provisional Local Government Finance Settlement details the change in core spending power for Sheffield amounts to a reduction of 2.0% (compared to the England average of 1.1%) from 2016/17 to 2017/18;
- (4) notes that £40million worth of savings is needed to be made from the Council's budget for the next year, and is in addition to the £350m of savings already made since the formation of the Liberal Democrat-Conservative coalition government in 2010;
- (5) recalls that in the original 2010 Comprehensive Spending Review, the Coalition Government committed to eliminating the deficit within four years, meaning that the Council's 2017/18 budget was estimated to be the third year that the cuts were over;
- (6) believes that the fact that the Council now faces further cuts over the coming year(s) is a damning indictment of the failure of the previous coalition government to eliminate the deficit, despite inflicting unprecedented cuts to public services and that Labour's growth-led recovery would have cut the deficit at a much quicker rate;
- (7) regrets that the current government are continuing with the same failed policies of the previous coalition government; continuing to cut local government services to the bone, whilst at the same time implementing policies which only benefit the very wealthy, such as raising the threshold for inheritance tax and increasing the 40p income tax band;
- (8) believes that Councils are bearing the brunt of an austerity programme in its seventh year; it is, as such, this Council's contention that the continuation of austerity is a political choice by the Government based on their ideological commitment to shrinking the state, rather than an economic imperative, a belief shared by the Liberal Democrats who went along with this at every step of the way when in coalition government;
- (9) believes that the Labour Party is right to call to an immediate end the unnecessary and deeply damaging austerity programme devised by the Coalition Government and now continued by the incumbent Government and notes that the Labour Party is united in its total opposition of this;

- (10) notes that in addition to the cuts being forced on local authorities, the increasing external pressures such as an ageing population and increased demand for services at an increased cost, and the current crisis in adult social care, is making it harder and harder for councils to balance their budgets and provide the desired services;
- (11) believes that the current crisis in social care has reached a "breaking point", but that this crisis has been seven years in the making as government funding to services have become more sparse;
- (12) notes that the Council spends a significant portion of its budget on adult social care but that, due to increasing pressures, it is getting harder to provide the necessary services for adult social care, and that this is in part due to external factors such as an ageing population and increased demands for services at an increased cost:
- (13) highlights that in addition to the increasing pressure on services, central government grants and funding are being reduced and this has resulted in an increasing "budget gap", and this is projected to have grown to £116 million by 2021/22;
- (14) believes that given the dreadful financial settlement given to the Council and the terrible legacy of the Coalition Government on local government finance, the present Administration have protected front line services as far as possible and focused on protecting services for the most vulnerable;
- (15) believes that due to the magnitude of government cuts over the past seven years and increased pressure on services, it was unavoidable that the Council would seek to put up Council Tax;
- (16) further believes it is unavoidable to implement the Chancellor's social care "precept" of three per cent as outlined in the 2015 Spending Review and Autumn Statement;
- (17) notes that whilst the Government have promised not to increase taxes, it is in effect forcing local authorities to do this work for them, with councils needing to increase Council Tax in order to try to plug the shortfall in finances caused by increasing pressures and the reductions in central government grants;
- (18) believes that the three per cent Council Tax precept for social care does not even fully cover the Council's need to pay providers properly so they can fulfil their obligations to pay staff the National Living Wage, and that the precept is not enough to stem the funding crisis;
- (19) makes an additional point on the above in regard to social care, that despite the short-term financial pressures caused by the introduction of the National Living Wage, it may have a positive impact on our local care

market by driving up the attractiveness of working in the sector and therefore increasing the security and stability of our providers (which in turn may then require less intervention on the Council's part), and notes that the Labour Party is committed to an actual National Living Wage (as determined by the independent Living Wage Foundation) rather than the higher rate of minimum wage we currently have and, additionally, this Administration contends that we are not in funding crisis because of the need to pay care workers a decent wage but because of more systemic problems;

- (20) notes that for 2017/18, the additional £5.4m raised through the social care precept still leaves the Council needing to find a further £35m, including a revenue support grant (RSG) cut of £23m, and that the precept fails to address the increased cost of providing social care alone, and believes that the real issues the Government must address is the lack of funding for local authorities and the need to tackle the social care crisis, however, this Administration believes it would be irresponsible not to use this funding to protect care services as far as possible and this is why we are doing so;
- (21) reinstates its support to calls for the Government to provide emergency funds of £700 million into social care to help stem the funding crisis, and states its disappointment that the Government are so far unwilling to grant this request despite it clearly being required;
- (22) notes that most local authorities are facing similar difficulties to meet the rising social care pressures; exemplified by Surrey Council originally proposing to hold a referendum on increasing Council Tax by 15% in order to meet their social care costs, and believes that this was only dropped after a deal, seemingly made in secret, was forged by the Government and the Conservative-run Surrey Council to provide additional central government funding to spare the Government any embarrassment;
- (23) believes it is incredulous that a special deal for Surrey Council seems to have been agreed by the Government behind closed doors and this Council questions whether the Government have finally recognised that local government is grossly underfunded, as seen by their "special deal" to Surrey; and that the Government should recognise that there will be a £2.6bn shortfall in social care funding by 2020;
- (24) contends that if a deal was struck, Government Ministers should offer the same deal given to Surrey to all councils, regardless of political affiliation;
- (25) believes we have a crisis in social care, resulting from the Coalition Government's cuts to local authority funding and the continuation of these cuts by the present Government, and that secret backroom deals are not the answer as we urgently need a proper solution and to provide councils with the funding they need to solve this crisis;

- (26) notes the similarities of the Government's 2016 £300 million relief fund, whereby Sheffield received nothing, yet the vast majority of funding went to Conservative-controlled areas; the largest beneficiary was Surrey, getting £24m, whilst £19m went to Hampshire, £16m to Hertfordshire, £14m to Essex, £12m to West Sussex, £11m to Kent and £9m to Buckinghamshire, and in total 83% of the funding has been given to Conservative-controlled councils, typically in the most affluent areas of the country, whilst councils in more deprived areas with the greatest level of need are not being supported despite receiving much greater cuts over the last five years;
- care Support Grant of £241m nationally, but that it is only available for 2017/18 and this Council believes the Grant is beyond feeble in its attempt to meet the required funding level; the Adult Social Care Support Grant allocates funding according to the Social Care needs formula, which does not take into account the ability to raise funds through the social care precept, and is financed from a reduced New Homes Bonus allocation; this new grant is estimated to only provide additional funding of £2.7m to Sheffield and is a temporary measure for one year only; making it a small help in the short-term but it is completely inadequate to cover the financial shortfall and provides no longer term benefits;
- (28) reinstates that this Administration is committed to helping those who are struggling to pay for Council Tax and will renew last year's Council Tax Support Scheme, which last year helped over 52,000 households, and will continue to call for the Government to reintroduce a fully funded council tax benefit scheme;
- (29) believes that the Administration's continuation of the Council Tax Support Scheme shows that only a Labour council can be trusted to make sure that tax rises are not "balanced on the backs of the poor" and notes that this is in stark contrast to the actions of the Liberal Democrats in coalition government who raised VAT and slashed disability benefits, affecting most the very poorest, whilst at the same time reducing the top-rate of tax for the very wealthiest;
- (30) reaffirms the Administration's housing strategy, as set out in the Housing Revenue Account (HRA), and commitment to social housing; highlighting that despite the challenging financial climate, the Authority is almost one third of the way toward its target of 1,000 extra council homes; with a switch of focus from acquisitions to new build with no overall increase in the cost of the programme, and that a higher percentage of new builds within the programme will help us to build the mix of housing that we need and we will continue to deliver, as planned, improvements to our tenants' homes to make sure they continue to be well maintained over the next 5 years;
- (31) notes that as a result of budget cuts, the Council could lose up to 225

jobs during the financial year 2017/18; and that this Administration, as in previous years, will take steps to minimise redundancies, such as offering voluntary severance and voluntary early retirement schemes, as well as using vacancies not yet filled;

- (32) expresses sincere and heartfelt sympathy to those members of staff who are losing their jobs through redundancy and regrets that the Government's cuts and austerity programme has made redundancies unavoidable:
- (33) believes that despite the difficulties, this Administration has provided six years of progress for the city and is committed to bringing about positive changes for the people of Sheffield; improving living standards for all and driving up growth for our local economy; as such we welcome the exciting developments of the Sheffield Retail Quarter and new investment into the city region from McLaren and Boeing and will constantly seek to build on our successes;
- (34) therefore requests the Acting Executive Director, Resources to implement the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2017/2018 in accordance with the details set out in the reports on the Revenue Budget and Capital Programme now submitted;
- (35) notes those specific projects included in the years 2017/18 to 2022/23 Capital Programmes at Appendix 9 of the report on the Capital Programme, and that block allocations are included within the Programme for noting at this stage and detailed proposals will be brought back for separate Member approval as part of the monthly monitoring procedures;
- (36) notes the proposed Capital Programme for the 6 years to 2022/23 as per Appendix 9 of the report on the Capital Programme;
- (37) approves the Corporate Resource Pool (CRP) policy outlined in Appendix 4 of the report on the Capital Programme such that the commitment from the CRP is limited to one year and no CRP supported schemes are approved beyond 2017/18 unless explicitly stated, and that further reports will be brought to Members as part of the monthly approval process should the receipts position improve;
- (38) after noting the joint report of the Chief Executive and the Acting Executive Director, Resources now submitted on the Revenue Budget 2017/18, approves and adopts a net Revenue Budget for 2017/18 amounting to £395.551m, as set out in Appendix 3 of that report, as follows:-

Appendix 3

# **Summary Revenue Budget**

Original Budget 2016/17		Original Budget 2017/18
£000	B (6 II ) 1	£000
66,423 136,587 129,101 1,900 52,224 386,235	Portfolio budgets: Children Young People and Families Communities Place Policy Performance and Communications Resources	66,239 140,061 128,742 1,898 53,200 <b>390,140</b>
	Corporate Budgets:	
-74,601 -9,323 -1,490 -2,880 0 0	Specific Grants PFI Grant New Homes Bonus (LGF) Business Rates Transitional Grant Small Business Rates Relief Improved Better Care Fund CCG Better Care Fund Income Adult Social Care Grant (2017/18 only)	-74,437 -7,029 -1,467 -3,976 -2,188 -5,000 -2,717
8,200 -18,846 8,405 -698 2,700 4,555 0 0 25,094 600 27 300 -9,300	Corporate Items Redundancy Provision Pension Costs New Homes Bonus (LGF) Public Health Savings / re-investments Independent Living Fund Pressure Better Care Fund Social Care Risk Strengthening Families - Think Forward Investment Schools and Howden PFI Infrastructure Investment Payment to Parish Councils ICT Refresh Better Care Fund	6,200 -13,567 7,029 -698 0 3,000 2,000 4,000 25,285 900 22 300 0
80,100 1,067	Pension Deficit Payment Other	0 1,523
23,681 8,314 28,199	Capital Financing Costs General Capital Financing Costs Highways PFI Capital Financing Costs MSF Capital Financing Costs	22,944 11,630 18,844
-882 -53,400	Reserves Movements Contribution from Reserves Reserves Movements Relating to Pension Early Payment	-7,604 20,417

406,057	Total Expenditure	395,551
	Financing of Net Expenditure	
-90,592	Revenue Support Grant	-67,790
-106,131	NNDR/Business Rates Income	-96,746
-29,124	Business Rates Top Up Grant	-39,583
-176,467	Council Tax income	-182,116
-283	Collection Fund surplus	-398
-3,460	Social Care Precept	-8,918
-406,057	Total Financing	-395,551

- (39) approves a Band D equivalent Council Tax of £1,428.36 for City Council services, i.e. an increase of 4.99% (1.99% City Council increase and 3% national arrangement for the social care precept);
- (40) approves the Revenue Budget allocations and Budget Implementation Plans for each of the services, as set out in Appendix 2 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (41) notes the latest 2016/17 budget monitoring position;
- (42) approves the Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategies set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report and the recommendations contained therein:
- (43) approves the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Statement set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (44) agrees that authority be delegated to the Acting Executive Director of Resources to undertake Treasury Management activity, to create and amend appropriate Treasury Management Practice Statements and to report on the operation of Treasury Management activity on the terms set out in these documents;
- (45) approves a Pay Policy for 2017/18 as set out in Appendix 8 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (46) approves the proposed amount of compensation to Parish Councils for the loss of Council Tax income in 2017/18 at the levels shown in the table below paragraph 177 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (47) notes that the Section 151 Officer has reviewed the robustness of the estimates and the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves, in accordance with Part 2 of the Local Government Act 2003, and further details can be found in Appendix 4 of the Revenue Budget report;

- (48) notes the precepts issued by local parish councils which add £512,236 to the calculation of the budget requirement in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:
- (49) notes the information on the precepts issued by the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner and the South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority, together with the impact of these on the overall amount of Council Tax to be charged in the City Council's area;
- (50) notes that, based on the estimated expenditure level of £395.551m set out in paragraph (38) above, the amounts shown in Appendix 6b below would be calculated by the City Council for the year 2017/18, in accordance with Sections 30 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;

Appendix 6a

# CITY OF SHEFFIELD CALCULATION OF RECOMMENDED COUNCIL TAX FOR 2017/18 REVENUE BUDGET

The Council is recommended to resolve as follows:

- 1. It be noted that on 15th January 2017, the Council calculated the Council Tax Base 2017/18
  - (a) for the whole council area as:
    - **133,743.89** (item T in the formula in Section 31B of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, as amended (the "Act")); and
  - (b) for dwellings in those parts of its area to which a Parish precept relates as in the attached Appendix 6c.
- 2. Calculate that the Council Tax requirement for the Council's own purposes for 2017/18 (excluding Parish precepts) is:
  - £ 191,034,345.
- 3. That the following amounts be calculated for the year 2017/18 in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Act:
- (a) £ 1,343,486,330 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils.
- (b) £ 1,151,939,749 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.
- (c) £ 191,546,581 being the amount by which the aggregate at 3(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 3(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with

Section 31A(4) of the Act as its Council Tax requirement for the year (item R in the formula in Section 31B of the Act).

- (d) £ 1,432.1894 being the amount at 3(c) above (Item R), all divided by item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year (including Parish Precepts).
- (e) £ 512,236 being the aggregate amount of all special items (Parish precepts) referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (as per the attached Appendix 6b).
- (f) £ 1,428.3595 being the amount at 3(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 3(e) above by Item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no Parish precept relates.
- 4. To note that the Police and Crime Commissioner and the Fire and Rescue Authority have issued precepts to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for each category of dwellings in the Council's area as indicated in the table overleaf.
- 5. **£ 8,918,499** The amount set by the authority at 2 above, under section 30 of the Act, includes an amount attributable to the adult social care precept.
- 6. That the Council, in accordance with Sections 30 and 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the aggregate amounts shown in the tables below as the amounts of Council Tax for 2017/18 for each part of its area and for each of the categories of dwellings.

#### Sheffield City Council (non-parish areas)

( (	on the state of th											
Valuation Band												
A B C D E F G												
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72				
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92				
South Yorkshire Police and Crime	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32				
Commissioner												
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,103.65	1,287.60	1,471.54	1,655.48	2,023.36	2,391.25	2,759.13	3,310.96				

#### **Bradfield Parish Council**

Valuation Band									
	Α	В	С	D	Ε	F	G	Н	
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72	
Bradfield Parish Council	26.85	31.32	35.80	40.27	49.22	58.17	67.12	80.55	
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92	
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32	
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,130.50	1,318.92	1,507.34	1,695.75	2,072.58	2,449.42	2,826.25	3,391.51	

# **Ecclesfield Parish Council**

Valuation Band									
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72	
Ecclesfield Parish Council	10.67	12.45	14.23	16.01	19.56	23.12	26.68	32.01	
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92	
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32	
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,114.32	1,300.05	1,485.77	1,671.49	2,042.92	2,414.37	2,785.81	3,342.97	

# **Stocksbridge Town Council**

9		Valuatio	on Band					
	Α	В	С	D	Ε	F	G	Н
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72
Stocksbridge Town Council	20.65	24.09	27.53	30.97	37.86	44.74	51.62	61.94
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,124.30	1,311.69	1,499.07	1,686.45	2,061.22	2,435.99	2,810.75	3,372.90

7. The Council's basic amount of Council Tax is not excessive in accordance with the principles approved under Section 52ZB of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, therefore no referendum is required.

# **Appendix 6b**

Council Tax Schedule 2017/18	Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D	Band E	Band F	Band G	Band H
Sheffield City Council	952.24	1,110.95	1,269.65	1,428.36	1,745.77	2,063.19	2,380.60	2,856.72
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	45.97	53.64	61.30	68.96	84.28	99.61	114.93	137.92
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	105.44	123.01	140.59	158.16	193.31	228.45	263.60	316.32
Total charge for non- parish areas of Sheffield	1,103.65	1,287.60	1,471.54	1,655.48	2,023.36	2,391.25	2,759.13	3,310.96
Bradfield Parish Council	1,130.50	1,318.92	1,507.34	1,695.75	2,072.58	2,449.42	2,826.25	3,391.51
Ecclesfield Parish Council	1,114.32	1,300.05	1,485.77	1,671.49	2,042.92	2,414.37	2,785.81	3,342.97
Stocksbridge Town Council	1,124.30	1,311.69	1,499.07	1,686.45	2,061.22	2,435.99	2,810.75	3,372.90

#### **Appendix 6c**

					2016/17						2017/18
Parish Council	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Council Tax Increase
Bradfield	5,663.47	223,611	39.4831	10,005	233,616	5,713.66	230,105	40.2727	8,004	238,109	2.00%
Ecclesfield	9,088.35	141,242	15.5410	10,041	151,283	9,149.98	146,466	16.0072	8,033	154,499	3.00%
Stocksbridge	3,665.37	111,299	30.3651	7,224	118,524	3,675.84	113,849	30.9724	5,779	119,629	2.00%
Total/average	18.417.19	476,153	25.8537	27,270	503,423	18.539.48	490,420	26.4527	21,816	12,236	2.32%

7.5.2 The votes on the Substantive Motion were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

For the Substantive Motion (52)

Councillors Helen Mirfin-Boukouris, Chris Rosling-Josephs, Ian Saunders, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Kieran Harpham, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Craig Gamble Pugh, Mazher Igbal, Mary Lea, Zahira Naz, Andy Bainbridge, Steve Wilson, Alan Law, Abtisam Mohamed, Lewis Dagnall, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Bob Johnson, George Lindars-Hammond, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Terry Fox, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Tony Downing, Nasima Akther, Mohammad Maroof, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Leigh Bramall, Tony Damms, Jayne Dunn, Richard Crowther, Olivia Blake, Ben Curran, Neale Gibson, Adam Hurst, Zoe Sykes, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Against the Substantive Motion (28)

Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Magid Magid, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Adam Hanrahan, Joe Otten, Colin Ross, Martin Smith, Pauline Andrews, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, Alison Teal, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley, Jack Clarkson, Keith Davis and John Booker.

Abstained on the Substantive Motion (1)

- The Lord Mayor (Councillor Denise Fox).

#### 8. MEMBERS' ALLOWANCES 2017/18 AND ONWARD

- 8.1 RESOLVED: On the motion of Councillor Peter Rippon, seconded by Councillor Olivia Blake, that this Council:-
  - (a) notes the report of the Acting Executive Director, Resources commenting on and appending the report of the Council's Independent Remuneration Panel, dated January 2017, and thanks the members of the Panel for their report;
  - (b) having regard to the recommendations contained in the Panel's report, together with the information contained in the report of the Acting Executive Director, Resources, approves and adopts from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2017 and onwards, a Members' Allowances Scheme comprising the arrangements approved and adopted by the City Council for the years 2013/14 to 2016/17, unchanged; and
  - (c) (i) as regards the annual index-linked increase, confirms that, as in previous years, the annual index in relation to Basic, Special Responsibility (including the Pensions Authority), Co-optees and Dependent Carers' Allowances shall be the average percentage officer pay award in Sheffield, and in relation to travel and subsistence, the annual index shall be the relevant officer rates agreed from time to time; such arrangements to be implemented for a period of four years and (ii) in line with the recommendation of the Independent Remuneration Panel, agrees to implement the annual increase during 2017/18 in relation to Basic, Special Responsibility (including the Pensions Authority), Co-optees and Dependent Carers' Allowances, the first such increase in 7 years, with provision having been made in the Council's Revenue Budget for 2017/18 to accommodate a 1% uplift on those Allowances.
- 8.1.1 (NOTE: 1. Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Adam Hanrahan, Joe Otten, Colin Ross, Martin Smith, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, David Baker, Penny Baker and Vickie Priestley voted for paragraph (a) and against paragraphs (b) and (c) of the Motion, and asked for this to be recorded; and
  - 2. Councillors Pauline Andrews, Jack Clarkson, Keith Davis and John Booker voted for paragraphs (a), (b) and (c)(i) and voted against paragraph (c)(ii) of the Motion, and asked for this to be recorded.)

This page is intentionally left blank